FAIR-Expert Committee Experiments (ECE) 2018-03-19

Technical Design Report for NEDA@HISPEC



Edited by J. Nyberg and J.J. Valiente Dobon

1	Contents								
2	1	Executive Summary		4					
3	2	Contact Person, Collaboration, Authors 4							
4		2.1 Contact Persons	2.1 Contact Persons						
5		2.2 NEDA Collaborat	ion	4					
6		2.3 Authors of this R	eport	5					
	2			-					
7	3	Physics with NEDA@	IHISPEC	5					
8	4	Detector Unit: Simu	lations	6					
9		4.1 Optimum Length	of the Detector	6					
10		4.2 Transverse Size (Diameter) of the Detector	8					
11		4.3 Times		10					
12		4.4 Comparison of B	C501A and BC537	11					
13		4.5 Summary and Co	nclusions	14					
14	5	Front-End Electronic	S	14					
15		5.1 Electronics Layou	ıt	14					
16		5.2 Single-Ended to [Differential Board	16					
17		5.3 Cable Transmission	on Features	17					
18		5.4 NUMEXO2 Front	t-End Electronics Hardware	18					
19		5.4.1 Power Ma	anagement	19					
20		5.4.2 NUMEXC	D2 Interface	19					
21		5.4.3 Clock Ma	nagement	20					
22		5.4.4 Readout I	Requirements	21					
23		5.5 Sampling FADC ı	mezzanine	21					
24		5.6 LINCO2 Readout	6 LINCO2 Readout Board						
25		5.7 Global Trigger an	7 Global Trigger and Synchronisation System						
26		5.7.1 GTS Crat	e interface	27					
27		5.7.2 GTS Trig	ger Processor	28					
28		5.8 Basic System Fire	mware and Software	29					
29		5.8.1 Virtex-6 F	\overline{F} irmware IPs	29					
30		5.8.1.1	Input interface ISERDES	29					
31		5.8.1.2	Data management	30					
32		5.8.1.3	Oscilloscope IP	31					
33		5.8.1.4	Inspection Lines	31					
34		5.8.1.5	Set-up register bank	31					
35		5.8.2 Virtex-5 F	Firmware IP and Embedded Software	31					
36		5.8.2.1	ADC Interface	31					
37		5.8.2.2	GTS leaf and PLB Cracus IPs	32					
38		5.8.2.3	Embedded PowerPC	33					
39		5.8.2.4	I/O Ethernet/PCIe	33					
40		5.9 Implementation o	of the NEDA Trigger Algorithm	34					
41		5.9.1 Trigger A	lgorithms	34					
42		5.9.2 Charge-Co	omparison Method	36					
43	6	Global Trigger and S	ynchronisation System	37					
	7	Data Acquisition Sur	tom	20					
44	1	Data Acquisition Sys		29					
45	8	Digital Timing Meas	urements	40					

46 47 48		1Experimental Setup and Measurements42Results and Discussion43Conclusions4	.0 1 6
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	9	ptimal Photomultiplier Tube for Neutron-Gamma Discrimination41 Experiment42 Digital CFD and Average Waveforms43 Photoelectron Yield44 Digital NGD49.4.1 Pulse-shape Discrimination with the CC Method59.4.2 Pulse-Shape Discrimination with the IRT Method59.4.3 TOF Verification of NGD55 Summary and Conclusions5	7 8 9 9 50 54 55
58 59 60 61	10	etector Unit: Prototype Design and First Tests50.1 Mechanical Description50.2 Test of Timing Performance50.3 Test of Neutron-Gamma Discrimination Performance5	6 7 57
62	11	ew Detector Materials 5	9
63 64 65 66 67 68	12	EDA Organisation, Phases, Work Packages and Time Lines52.1 Organisation, management, responsible persons52.2 Memorandum of understanding (MoU)62.3 NEDA Phases and Campaigns62.4 Work Packages62.5 Time Lines and Critical Milestones6	9 i9 i0 i0 i0
69	Re	rences 6	1

⁷⁰ **1** Executive Summary

This report describes the technical design of the neutron detector array NEDA, which will be used 71 at the HISPEC setup together with AGATA [1], LYCCA [2] and other detectors for measurements of 72 neutrons in the energy range from about 1 MeV to 20 MeV. The NEDA detector units will have the 73 shape of uniform hexagonal prisms with a volume of about 3 litres, and will be filled with a liquid 74 scintillator with good neutron- γ discrimination (NGD) properties (BC501A or similar). The design 75 of the units was chosen to optimise the efficiency for detection of neutrons and to have a modular 76 setup, allowing for a placement of the detectors in various geometries and distances from the target 77 position. The full version of NEDA will consist of 331 detector units, which e.g. can be placed at a 78 distance of 1 m from the target position to cover a solid angle of about 50 % of 4π . 79

⁸⁰ The main characteristics of NEDA are the following:

- Efficient detection of neutrons in the energy range from 1 MeV to about 20 MeV.
- Superior neutron- γ discrimination, which allows the detectors to be used in an environment with a high γ -ray background, and high count-rate capability.
- Sufficient granularity of the array to maximise the detection efficiency for neutron multiplicities
 larger than one.
- Modular design, which allows for a placement of the detectors in different geometries around the target, optimising neutron detection efficiency and/or neutron energy resolution.
- Advanced digital front-end electronics, which is fully compatibility with the AGATA electronics and data acquisition system.

NEDA will be used in experiments with stable and radioactive beams at NUSTAR/FAIR as well as
 at other European accelerator facilities, for example at SPIRAL2/GANIL and at SPES/LNL.

This design report describes the developments and construction of a NEDA array consisting of 48 detector units, which will be ready for experiments at HISPEC from 2018. The contents of the report are the following. Section 3 contains a brief summary of some of the possible physics cases to be studied with NEDA@HISPEC. Sections 4 to 7 describe the technical design of NEDA and sections 8 to 11 the performed and ongoing tests of prototypes etc. In section 12 the organisation of the NEDA project, responsibilities, work packages, time lines and critical milestones are described. The cost estimates and expected funding for NEDA@HISPEC are described in a separate document.

⁹⁹ 2 Contact Person, Collaboration, Authors

100 2.1 Contact Persons

¹⁰¹ Contact person for the NEDA@HISPEC project: Johan Nyberg, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden ¹⁰² johan.nyberg@physics.uu.se

Project manager of the NEDA project: José Javier Valiente Dobón, INFN-LNL, Legnaro, Italy
 javier.valiente@lnl.infn.it

105 2.2 NEDA Collaboration

The NEDA collaboration consists of researchers from 8 countries and more than 10 institutes. Here is a list of the institutes and local contact persons that are most actively involved in the NEDA project:

- University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria, D. Tonev et al.
- GANIL, CEA/DSAM and CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France, G. de France et al.
- INFN-LNL, Legnaro, Italy, J.J. Valiente Dobón et al.
- Heavy Ion Laboratory, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland, M. Palacz et al.
- National Centre for Nuclear Research, Otwock-Swierk, Poland, M. Moszyński et al.
- IFIC, CSIC University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain, A. Gadea et al.
- Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, J. Nyberg et al.
- Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University, Istanbul, Turkey, M.N. Erduran et al.
- STFC Daresbury, Daresbury, UK, John Simpson et al.
- University of York, York, United Kingdom, R. Wadsworth et al.

2.3 Authors of this Report

¹²¹ Contributions to this report were made by the following persons:

J. Agramunt, G. de Angelis, F.J. Egea, M.N. Erduran, S. Ertürk, G. de France, A. Gadea, V. González,

123 T. Hüyük, M. Jastrząb, G. Jaworski, X.L. Luo, V. Modamio, M. Moszyński, Q. Nishada, A. Di Nitto,

M. Palacz, A. Da Re, E. Sanchis, P.-A. Söderström, D. Tonev, A. Triossi, M. Tripon, R. Wadsworth.

3 Physics with NEDA@HISPEC

NEDA is proposed to be used at HISPEC in experiments with low- and medium energy exotic secondary beams from the Super-FRS. The main task of NEDA is to determine as efficiently and cleanly as possible the number of emitted neutrons in the reaction. The NEDA array will have a high granularity, which will allow for measurements of the angular distribution of the emitted neutrons. In special cases the neutron energies can also be measured, however with a low energy resolution, due to the relatively short distance from target to detector compared to the thickness of the detectors.

In the regime of low-energy beams, from about 4 MeV/A to 10 MeV/A, fusion-evaporation will be 132 utilised. Such reactions will be used e.g. for studies of the structure of nuclei along the N = Z line 133 at the proton dripline or beyond. Examples of interesting physics questions that can be addressed in 134 this region are neutron-proton pairing in the isospin T = 0 and T = 1 channels, isospin symmetry 135 breaking effects, exotic shapes and decay modes, proton skins and coupling to continuum states due 136 to the weak binding of protons at the dripline. Many of the nuclei close to the proton dripline can 137 be reached via fusion-evaporation reactions with exotic low-energy beams from the Super FRS with 138 emission of one or more neutrons, which would be detected by NEDA for tagging of the reaction 139 channels. The experimental setup would in such experiments consist of NEDA and AGATA plus a 140 charged particle detector array in the center surrounding the secondary target. Measurements of 141 lifetimes, using a plunger or a stack of foils are also planned, since such measurements often are 142 crucial for tests of the models. 143

With medium-energy beams, in the range from about 10 MeV/A to 100 MeV/A, inverse kinematics reactions with exotic proton-rich ions on 2 H and 3 He targets are considered. Many interesting physics cases and possibilities to study proton-rich nuclei can be found by using the one- and two-proton transfer reactions 2 H(X, n)Y and 3 He(X, n)Y. An example of a one-proton transfer reaction is the recent experiment with GRETINA [3] at MSU using the reaction 2 H(57 Cu, n) 58 Zn at 75 MeV/A [4]. In this experiment, excited states of ⁵⁸Zn were observed for the first time with an identification of levels up to about 3.4 MeV. This covers the energy range of all critical resonances for the reaction ⁵⁷Cu(p, γ)⁵⁸Zn, which is an important reaction in the astrophysical rp process [4]. Other cases in this mass region, that can be studied with the ²H(X, n)Y reaction, are for example the even-even $T_Z = -1$ nuclei ⁶²Ge, ⁶⁶Se, and ⁷⁰Kr. Two-proton transfer reactions with ³He as target is another possibility to reach even more proton-rich nuclei.

The inverse kinematics one- and two-proton transfer reactions produce low- to intermediate-energy 155 neutrons. The experimental setup at HISPEC would in this case consist of NEDA, AGATA [1] and 156 LYCCA [2] or something similar to LYCCA. The LYCCA detector system measures the energy loss, 157 total energy, and time-of-flight of heavy ions produced in nuclear reactions induced by relativistic 158 ions, which allows for the derivation of Z and Z of the reaction products. NEDA, with its high 159 efficiency, will be used for tagging of reaction channels associated with emission on neutrons. This 160 is particularly important in studies of heavy nuclei ($A \gtrsim 100$) for which the A resolution of LYCCA 161 may not be sufficient. The angular distribution of the neutrons can be measured with NEDA. This 162 can be used to obtain information about the angular momentum transferred in the reactions. 163

¹⁶⁴ **4 Detector Unit: Simulations**

In this section, the simulations performed to find the optimal size of the NEDA detector unit are described. Two different types of liquid scintillators, the standard proton-based BC501A and the deuterated liquid BC537, were simulated and compared. This work has been published in [5] and the main results are given here. In Ref.[5], the studied neutron energy range was 1 MeV to 10 MeV, which is typical for fusion-evaporation reactions. An extension of the results and conclusions from that paper to be valid up to 20 MeV, which is the maximum neutron energy considered in this TDR, can safely me made.

The GEANT4 framework [6] was the selected tool for the simulations, due to its flexibility and the possibilities to include a large number of different materials and detector shapes. NEDA will be used together with other detector arrays, in particular with AGATA, for which a GEANT4 model exists [7]. The simulations that are presented here were performed using the AGATA simulation code [7], which is based on GEANT4 and which greatly facilities combining different devices in one simulation.

Experimentally, neutron detectors count (register) neutrons (or γ rays) if the amplitude of the signal 178 from the PMT exceeds a certain level. The time of the detection is determined using for example 179 a constant fraction discriminator (CFD). A similar procedure was applied in the simulation taking 180 into account that each neutron usually interacts many times in the detector volume. In order to 181 reproduce the experimental situation as close as possible, the interactions were first sorted timewise, 182 then summed up incrementally. The "detection" time of the signal produced is defined as the time 183 when the light produced in the detector exceeds the assumed threshold. In the following discussion, 184 the term significant interaction, which refers to a series of interactions leading to a signal above 185 threshold, is used. A threshold of 50 keVee is assumed for the calculations presented in this work. 186

187 4.1 Optimum Length of the Detector

In the attempt to find an optimum size of the NEDA detector modules, a systematic study was performed to determine the length of the scintillator detector that is needed in order to register a significant interaction.

A pencil beam of monochromatic neutrons was shot into a scintillator cylinder with a 50 cm diameter and variable length. No detector walls were included in this simulation and the neutron detection efficiency was analysed as a function of the length of the cylinder. The efficiency to detect a neutron, was defined as $\epsilon_n = N_{detected}/N_{emitted}$, where $N_{emitted}$ and $N_{detected}$ are the number of neutrons which were emitted and which created a significant interaction, respectively. The diameter was deliberately chosen to be rather large (50 cm), so that the detection probability depended only on the cylinder length and was not influenced by a limitation of the diameter. The results of this study are presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Neutron detection efficiency (ϵ_n) as a function of the cylinder depth (= length) for the two scintillators BC501A (blue lines) and BC537 (red lines) and for 1 MeV, 2 MeV, 4 MeV and 8 MeV neutrons.

The neutron detection probability as a function of the cylinder length reaches a constant value of about 80 % to 95 %, at a cylinder length of 20 cm to about 40 cm depending on the neutron energy and the type of the scintillator. A further increase of the detector length does not lead to a significant increase of the detection probability. Reaching an efficiency of 100 % is not possible, because in some events neutrons lose energy in reactions which do not produce enough light to exceed the threshold.

The depth distributions of the significant interactions were also analysed. The results shown in Fig. 2 205 corroborate the above observations based on Fig. 1. The majority of the interactions take place 206 within the first layers of the scintillator (depending on the neutron energy), but the tails of the depth 207 distributions are large, thus the thickness of the scintillator necessary to detect almost all neutrons 208 is also large (compare Fig. 1). The lowering of the mean significant interaction depth at 4 MeV (see 209 insert of Fig. 2) is attributed to the fact that elastic scattering on carbon becomes significant only at 210 this energy (carbon nuclei moving in the scintillator are finally able to produce enough light). Thus, 211 the total interaction cross section increases at about 4 MeV. In turn, at 5.561 MeV the ${}^{12}C(n,\alpha){}^{9}Be$ 212 reaction channel opens, but the products of this reaction need another 2 MeV to 3 MeV of kinetic 213 energy to be detected, and therefore the significant interaction depths become smaller only at about 214 8 MeV. 215

The conclusion regarding the length of the detector unit is that for most of the neutrons emitted with energies up to about 10 MeV the maximum of the detection efficiency will be reached at a detector length of 20 cm to 30 cm. Increasing the detector length by another 10 cm or 20 cm would lead to slightly larger efficiency for the fastest neutrons. Two additional factors should, however,



Figure 2: Distributions of the depth of the significant interaction (Z) for two neutron energies and two scintillators, BC501A and BC537. The type of the scintillator is marked with blue and red lines for BC501A and BC537, respectively. The line pattern marks the neutron energy as shown in the legend. A total of 10^6 neutrons were simulated in each case. The insert shows the dependence of the mean significant interaction depth (\overline{Z}) on the neutron energy. Lines connecting the points in the insert are drawn only to guide an eye.

also be taken into account in determining the optimum length of the detector. The first one is the influence of the detector length on the probability that one neutron generates a signal in more than one detector. This is discussed further in section 4.2. The second factor is the relation of the detector size to the quality of the NGD. This effect was not studied in the present work, but the results presented in Ref. [8] indicate that the discrimination deteriorates for larger detectors.

225 4.2 Transverse Size (Diameter) of the Detector

Neutrons undergo significant interactions mainly along the axis of their incoming direction. Dis-226 tributions of the significant interaction with respect to this axis are shown in Fig. 3. After the 227 first interaction, a scattered neutron may however produce another significant interaction, which is 228 located far away from the initial axis, usually in another detector module. In order to study the 229 distribution of such second significant interactions a setup was evaluated consisting of two coaxial 230 detectors, an inner and an outer detector as shown in Fig. 4. Such a setup is a good representation of 231 a detector module surrounded by a number of other modules, with unimportant geometrical details 232 omitted. 233

A pencil beam of monochromatic neutrons was directed to the centre of the inner detector. The probability to register a significant interaction in the outer detector was evaluated for events in which the central detector fired, with the inner cylinder diameter varied within the range from 5 cm to 30 cm. The outer detector had a fixed radial thickness of 1 m and two different thicknesses were used for the inner and outer detectors: 20 cm and 40 cm (see Fig. 4). The results are shown in Fig. 5. The plotted values are defined as $P_{1n\rightarrow 2n} = N_2/N_1$, where N_1 and N_2 are the number of neutrons which gave significant interactions in the inner cylinder and in both cylinders, respectively.

Fig. 5 indicates that $P_{1n \rightarrow 2n}$ is reduced rather slowly with the inner detector diameter. For any



Figure 3: Distribution of the distance (R) between the position of the first significant interaction and the axis of the incoming neutrons. The results of the simulations for two neutron energies (1 MeV and 8 MeV) are shown with blue and red lines for the two scintillators, BC501A and BC537, respectively. A pencil beam of 10⁶ neutrons were shot into the centre of the cylindrical detector in each of the presented cases.



Figure 4: Setup used in the evaluation of the probability that one neutron generates a signal in more than one detector module.

 $_{^{242}}$ practical detector diameters the $P_{1n\rightarrow2n}$ values will be large and if $P_{1n\rightarrow2n}$ values below $1\,\%$ are

required (compare Ref. [9]) additional cleaning conditions of the interactions in two detectors cannot be avoided.

The $P_{1n \rightarrow 2n}$ values are significantly larger for longer detectors, for all energies and for both scintil-

 $_{246}$ lators. The BC501A scintillator gives larger $P_{1n \rightarrow 2n}$ values than BC537 for the smallest diameters,

²⁴⁷ but this relation inverts with the increase of the diameter, depending also on the energy of neutrons.



Figure 5: Crosstalk probability, $P_{1n\to 2n}$, as a function of the cylinder diameter. Four sets of lines, corresponding to neutron energies 1 MeV, 2 MeV, 4 MeV and 8 MeV, are shown for each of the two scintillators, BC501A and BC537 in blue and red, respectively. Cylinders with two lengths, 20 cm and 40 cm, were used and the respective lines are marked with text labels and arrows.

248 **4.3 Times**

A larger detector may in principle have worse time resolution. This may also impose an important limitation on the detector size, as the TOF parameter is used to distinguish neutrons and γ rays detected in the scintillator as well as for the 1n/2n discrimination. Two different components contribute to the time resolution of a neutron detector:

• intrinsic time resolution, related to the time required to produce and collect the light signal in the scintillator and to the electronic jitter;

• varying TOF due to a distribution of significant interaction depths in a thick detector.

The intrinsic time resolution cannot be evaluated in present simulations, as light production processes and light transportation are not included in the model. It was, however, experimentally shown in Ref. [10] that the intrinsic resolution of BC501A detectors does not significantly vary with the cylindrical detector length. A value of about 1.5 ns was obtained.

The TOF of a cylindrical detector (the same one as described in Sec. 4.1) was evaluated as a function of the cylinder length. The widths of the TOF distributions are presented in Fig. 6. Here, the intrinsic time resolution of the detector was not taken into account, and the presented values reflect only the variations of the interaction depths.

The width of the TOF distributions as a function of detector length initially rises rather steeply, while for longer cylinders (above 30 cm) it saturates at a certain value. Thus, the simulations do not indicate any limit on the detector length imposed by the TOF resolution. Larger neutron energies lead to smaller TOF variations, which is due to the fact that for a faster particle, variations in the significant interaction depth are less important in terms of TOF. Filling the detector with the BC537 scintillator liquid results in a significantly worse TOF resolution than in the case of BC501A.

 $_{\rm 270}$ Timing effects are important for the $P_{\rm 1n\rightarrow 2n}$ probability. Neutrons interacting in the scintillator



Figure 6: Width (one standard deviation) of the TOF distributions as a function of the cylinder length for BC501A (blue lines) and BC537 (red lines), and for 1 MeV, 2 MeV, 4 MeV, and 8 MeV neutrons.

usually undergo a series of elastic interactions with the nuclei of the medium and then thermalise or 271 escape from the detector. Thus, light is mostly produced within a few nanoseconds after the neutron 272 enters the detector. Scattering of thermalise neutrons in the scintillator may, however, continue for 273 much longer times (up to milliseconds). If a thermalise neutron is captured by a proton, this leads 274 to a very late light flash, due to the registration of the γ ray emitted in this process. Such effects 275 are more significant for the BC501A scintillator than for BC537, because the cross section for the 276 $p(n,\gamma)d$ interaction is much larger than for $d(n,\gamma)t$. This is illustrated in Fig. 7, which shows times of 277 the interaction in the outer detector of the setup shown in Fig. 4. Indeed, for BC501A, a significant 278 interaction in the outer detector either happens within the first 100 ns or much later with an almost 279 flat distribution up to hundreds of μ s. The corresponding spectrum for the BC537 scintillator shows 280 no such late light-flash effect. 281

The late light flash is often produced far from the initial neutron interaction point, i.e. usually in 282 another detector module. Thus, the BC501A scintillator seemingly shows much larger $P_{1n\rightarrow 2n}$ values 283 than BC537, if light collection is not limited in time. This is illustrated in Fig. 8 in which $P_{1n\rightarrow 2n}$ 284 values of the two scintillators are compared for calculations with and without a 100 ns time limit 285 for the significant interaction. This indicates the importance of properly setting time limits on the 286 collection of neutron signals, both in experiments and in simulations. For the efficiency and $P_{1n\rightarrow 2n}$ 287 evaluations presented in this paper, a time limit of 100 ns from the emission of neutrons or γ rays 288 to the first significant interaction was used. Light produced in each detector volume was integrated 289 during 300 ns after the significant interaction. 290

291 4.4 Comparison of BC501A and BC537

As mentioned before, the elsewhere reported advantage of the deuterated scintillator (BC537) is its ability to give a better detector response, i.e. signals which are more proportional to the energy of the incoming neutron, than scintillators based on ¹H (like BC501A). Fig. 9 shows simulated light spectra produced by a pencil beam of 2 MeV neutrons interacting in two cylindrical detectors filled



Figure 7: Times of the significant interaction in the outer detector of the two scintillators shown in Fig. 4. A source of 2 MeV neutrons was placed 51 cm in front of the detectors and the time measurement starts when a neutron is emitted from the source. The detectors were 20 cm long and the diameter of the inner detector was 12.7 cm.



Figure 8: Influence of the 100 ns detection time limit on the $P_{1n\rightarrow 2n}$ probability.

with BC501A and BC537, of two different sizes: a small detector with a 5 cm diameter, a 5 cm length 296 and a volume of 0.1 litre and a large one with a diameter of 12.7 cm, a length of 20 cm and a volume 297 of 2.5 litre. The large detector has a size similar to the size of the NEDA detector module. It can 298 be seen in Fig. 9a that the small BC537 detector indeed gives a pronounced bump corresponding to 299 the incident neutron energy. This bump is not seen in the histogram of the small BC501A detector. 300 However, in the big detector (Fig. 9b), events in which most of the neutron energy is transferred 301 to the scintillator medium in one interaction are relatively rare, and no advantage related to the 302 angular distributions of a single neutron scattering can be observed. Instead, events with multiple 303

neutron interactions dominate, leading to very similar shapes of the spectra for both scintillators.
 The main difference is that less light is produced in BC537 than in BC501A.



Figure 9: Light produced by a pencil beam of 2 MeV neutrons in two cylindrical BC501A and BC537 detectors of different size: a) a small and b) a large detector. The dimensions of the detectors are shown in the legends.

³⁰⁶ It has already been shown (Fig. 1), that the BC537 scintillator has a lower efficiency than BC501A.

³⁰⁷ The difference between the two scintillators is additionally illustrated in Fig. 10 in which the detection

³⁰⁸ probability for the cylindrical detector is plotted as a function of neutron energy. Note that at

 $_{309}$ low neutron energies, below 1 MeV, the efficiency difference between the two scintillators is very

310 significant.



Figure 10: Neutron detection efficiency as a function of neutron energy for the two scintillators BC501A and BC537. The detector had a diameter of 50 cm and was 60 cm long.

It should be pointed out that the observed difference between the two scintillators comes mainly from

the higher cross section for the neutron interaction with protons than with deuterons. In addition, 312 there is relatively more carbon in BC537 (C_6D_6) than in BC501A (C_8H_{10}) and interactions on 313 carbon give very little light. Also, less light is produced per MeV by deuterons than by protons. 314 Thus, the results of the simulations are easily explained by the physical properties of the scintillation 315 material. A smaller amount of light also results in broader TOF distributions. As far as the $P_{1n\to 2n}$ 316 probability is concerned, both detectors exhibit similar behaviour, except for the situations when the 317 efficiency of BC537 is too low to register two significant interactions. Thus, based on the simulations 318 presented here, there is no advantage of using the deuterated scintillator instead of the standard 319 one. 320

321 **4.5 Summary and Conclusions**

The evaluation of the reliability of the GEANT4 neutron interaction model lead to the conclusion that this code can be used for NEDA type simulations, although deficiencies of inelastic processes on ¹²C and ²H can still be identified. The credibility of the GEANT4 neutron interaction model was concluded after comparing the results of simulations with real detector measurements.

Based on the calculations presented in this work, the conclusion is that a detector with a length of 20 cm is sufficient for detection of neutrons with energies up to about 10 MeV. A longer detector would give only a marginal increase of the efficiency, with a larger probability for a single neutron to generate signals in more than one detector and a possibly worse NGD capability.

A significant fraction of detected neutrons will create a second signal in detectors situated far 330 away from the initial interaction point. Thus, there is little profit in using detectors of the small 331 transverse dimension (diameter). Note that the NEDA array will be situated about 1 m from the 332 neutron emission point (a target) and will cover a solid angle of up to 2π . A small transverse 333 dimension would then lead to a huge number of the detector modules, which should be avoided, if 334 it is not especially justified. Therefore, the diameter of the detector should be as large as practically 335 possible, and this means using detectors of about 5 inch diameter, which is the size of the largest 336 PMTs commonly available. An array covering 2π of the solid angle and located at a distance of 1 m 337 from the target will consist of about 400 such detectors. 338

The simulations presented here do not indicate any advantage of using a deuterated scintillator instead of the standard 1 H-based one.

341 **5** Front-End Electronics

This section contains a description of the design and tests of the NEDA front-end electronics. Further details can be found in Refs. [11, 12, 13].

344 5.1 Electronics Layout

NEDA electronics design is going to be conducted in three phases. Firstly, the new digital electronics is envisaged to instrument the Neutron Wall array [14, 9] consisting of 50 detectors. Secondly, 48 NEDA scintillator detector modules plus electronics will be produced and used in the AGATA campaign at GANIL in 2016-2017 and for the NEDA@HISPEC experiments at NUSTAR/FAIR from 2018. Finally, the electronics for the full NEDA array, with 331 detectors, will be built in the last phase.

The electronic chain is made of the following parts: front-end single-ended to differential converters, sampling mezzanines and NUMEXO2 pre-processing, LINCO2 PCIe interface, Global Trigger and ³⁵³ Synchronisation (GTS) system, and finally data acquisition nodes (workstations).

Each single detector module is readout by one single front-end electronics channel whenever a 354 current signal is provided from the anode output of the corresponding PMT. The anode signals 355 are connected to the front-end connection panel, performing the conversion to differential before 356 sending the signal through a 10 m long cable to the NUMEXO2 digitiser. Each conversion board 357 has 8 channels. Once the signal reaches the NUMEXO2 digitiser, the pulse is sampled continiously 358 by the FADC mezzanines [11] at 200 MS/s with a resolution of 14 bits. The FADC mezzanines are 359 part of the NUMEXO2 digitiser, with each mezzanine board being plugged into the motherboard. 360 As the signal is digitised, it passes by a set of programmable devices based on the FPGA (field-361 programmable gate array): a Virtex-6 and a Virtex-5. Firstly, a trigger algorithm is applied in the 362 Virtex-6 so that the amount of events produced by γ rays gets drastically reduced, hence optimising 363 the readout bandwidth capabilities. At the Virtex-5 trigger requests, produced mostly by neutrons, 364 are received and sent to the GTS (Global Trigger System) in order to receive a validation or rejection. 365 A timestamp is as well attached to the event buffer. Additionally inside the Virtex-5, an embedded 366 processor containing an embedded Linux OS runs the slow-control tasks of the whole digitiser and 367 the communication ports. Each NUMEXO2 digitiser has the capability to deal with 16 channels and 368 contains one optical connection to the GTS. 369

In Fig. 11, the global electronics layout is depicted for a total amount of 48 detectors, requiring 3 NUMEXO2 NIM boards. The local GTS in the NUMEXO2 card is optically connected to the GTS

tree, which is located in another NIM module in another NIM crate. The connection procedure is

detailed in the GTS section 5.7.



Figure 11: Global electronics layout for 48 NEDA detectors

For 48 NEDA detectors the following is required: 3 NUMEXO2 boards, 12 mezzanines, 6 singleended to differential boards, 1 GTS NIM motherboard containing 2 GTS V3 mezzanines and two NIM crates. The final NEDA design, consisting of 331 detector units, requires 21 NUMEXO2 boards, 83 FADC mezzanines, 42 single-ended to differential modules, 3 GTS NIM motherboards containing 11 GTS V3 mezzanines and 3 NIM crates. Each of the following sections is aimed to describe in details the aforementioned blocks starting from the front-end single-ended to differential board and finishing by the LINCO PCIe boards.

381 5.2 Single-Ended to Differential Board

Given that fast pulses, with less than 10 ns rise time, must be transmitted to the NUMEXO2 digitiser, placed 10 m away from the detector in a noisy environment, it was preferred to drive the signals in a differential mode, increasing the noise immunity. The first electronic stage is a small box placed close to the PMT of the scintillators. Its role in the processing is to convert the incoming PMT signals to differential mode before being transmitted over the HDMI cable. Fig. 12 shows the block diagram of the front-end electronics board.



Figure 12: Front-end electronics board.

- ³⁸⁸ The design contemplates the following functionalities:
- 8-channel single-ended to differential low-noise stages.
- Protection system against high-voltage peaks, avoiding damage on the front-end and back-end
 electronics.
- A monitor output set before the conversion to differential to display a signal.
- Capability to adjust the gain.

Regarding the design of the single-ended to differential channel, the topology combines both fullydifferential amplifiers using the AD8139 for the conversion to differential, and low-noise operational amplifiers AD4817-1 to provide an easier gain control. To optimise the noise performance on the conversion to differential, a unitary-gain operation mode is selected for the AD8139, while the ADA4817-1 precedes the AD8139 implementing a follower circuit with a potentiometer at the non-inverting input, allowing to control the signal gain. The schematic is presented in Fig. 13.

The part preceding the buffer is composed of an over-voltage protection circuit, a smoothing capacitor C_s , in case a shaping of the signal is needed (not mounted in the present version) and a terminator in split configuration. The protection circuit is based on low-capacitance (< 1.5 pF) fast-switching Shottky diodes from the BAV99 series, driving overvoltage peaks towards the power



Figure 13: Front-end electronic channel schematics.

supply, 5 Vdc in the current design, with the current being limited by the 220 Ω resistor. The input terminators R_{T1} and R_{T2} may be configured either for current or voltage inputs. In case of a current input (from a PMT) the current input is transformed into voltage with the input voltage divider point. On the other hand, for standard voltage inputs from a signal generator, R_{T1} is set to 0 Ω and R_{T2} to its corresponding termination impedance, typically 50 Ω .

409 **5.3 Cable Transmission Features**

Due to the fast nature features of the signals, a test bench has been developed to characterise a set of different cables and determining the best solution for NEDA, by applying bandwidth (BW), crosstalk and EMI tests. The candidates for connection to the front-end are:

- MDSM coaxial cable, containing 19 coaxial connections.
- HDMI cable.
- HDMI v1.4. Infinite.
- PoCL-Lite camera cable.

The procedure to measure the bandwidth consists of driving sinusoidal input signals with constant amplitude across a frequency sweep, measuring the amplitude degradation at the output versus the frequency. The bandwidth is then calculated as the frequency at which the output to input voltage ratios are 3 dB below the value at low frequency. The results for all cables are shown in Table 1.

Cable under test	–3dB point (BW)	–6 dB point
MDSM	-	-
HDMI	70 MHz	230 MHz
HDMI v1.4 Infinite	120 MHz	>240 MHz
PoCL-Lite	35 MHz	130 MHz

Table 1: Cable bandwidths.

Crosstalk tests are performed by driving on one of the pairs a differential pulse and measuring the induced voltage on a second victim pair at the far-end. Specially, it is interesting to study the effect for different signal rise times. The measurements have been carried out at 10 ns and 2.5 ns, even though the latter is out of the specifications, and therefore aimed mostly at measuring the cable robustness against coupling. The waveforms used for these tests consists of square waveforms of $_{\rm 426}$ $\,$ 1 Vpp. It is important to terminate the unused pairs in order to avoid reflections from the victim

pairs. The crosstalk measurements are summarised in Table 2 for signals with rise times 10 ns and
2.5 ns. The values given are the differential crosstalk (not the induced crosstalk on each conductor
of the pair).

Table 2: Crosstalk test comparison for different cables at different rise / falling times.

Cable	$t_{\rm r}=10{ m ns}$	$t_{\rm r}=2.5{ m ns}$
MDSM	14 mV	43.8 mV
HDMI	2.73 mV	3.82 mV
HDMI v1.4 Infinite	3.94 mV	8.02 mV
PoCL-Lite	3.16 mV	4.18 mV

Since the experimental area will contain processes that involve radiation, it is of major interest to 430 test the shielding and grounding robustness against high-voltage peaks susceptible to be induced 431 into the cable. EMI measurements can be implemented by applying high-voltage pulses induced to 432 the cable using a conductive surface such as a piece of foil paper embracing part of outer surface of 433 the cable. As for the crosstalk measurements, it is required to terminate correctly each unused pair, 434 preventing the cable from undesired reflections that could falsify the measurements. A high-voltage 435 pulse generator NSG1025 from Schaffer was used to inject 1 kV high-voltage pulses of 1 μ s width 436 and with a frequency of 50 Hz. Besides, a copper plate was used to ground the whole testbench by 437 grounding the equipment chassis. The EMI results for the tested cables are summarised in Table 3. 438

Table 3: EMI results for different cables.

	Peak-to-peak of the induced voltage
Cable under test	for a 1 kV voltage peak
HDMI v1.4 Infinite	356 mV
HDMI	1.077 V
PoCL-Lite	6.252 V

In conclusion, according to all the results obtained, the best cable choice is the HDMI v1.4 Infinite
cable, since is the only one capable to deal with the NEDA signals by having a bandwidth of
120 MHz. In addition to the bandwidth results, HDMI v1.4 Infinite shows the best performance
regarding crosstalk, EMI measurements, thus being finally the most suitable option for NEDA.

443 5.4 NUMEXO2 Front-End Electronics Hardware

NUMEXO2 is the core of the NEDA front-end electronics. The NUMEXO2 digitiser and pre-444 processing system has been designed in synergy with GANIL, providing a common solution for more 445 detection systems, reducing time and resources. The digitiser functionalities can be summarised as 446 follows: A/D conversion, data pre-processing, connection to the GTS system and communication 447 links management for 16 channels. The system is composed of a motherboard and a set of 4 FADC 448 mezzanines, which perform the A/D conversion for 4 channels each. NUMEXO2 owes its flexibil-449 ity due to the use of FPGAs, facilitating the firmware algorithm design. Particularly, NUMEXO2 450 comprises 2 high-performance FPGAs, a Virtex-6 and a Virtex-5 from Xilinx. Fig. 14 illustrates the 451 main NUMEXO2 block diagram, including the FPGAs, FADC mezzanine and communication links. 452



Figure 14: NUMEXO2 general block diagram.

453 5.4.1 Power Management

NUMEXO2 is design to be located in a NIM crate from Caen capable of delivering up to 2000 W, from which the power supply is delivered to the rest of the electronics within the digitiser, including the FADC mezzanines. For this specific crate, the voltages and currents provided are: $\pm 6 V (90 A)$, $\pm 12 V (20 A)$ and $\pm 24 V (10 A)$. This allows for a maximum of 130 W per NUMEXO2 unit when hosting 12 digitisers in the crate. The usage of FPGAs normally involves a big assortment of different voltages to supply all blocks correctly. Fig. 15 shows the power supply block diagram.

In practice, the average total power consumption per digitiser, running at 200 MS/s and with both FPGAs running the firmware is not expected to be higher than 100 W.

462 5.4.2 NUMEXO2 Interface

NUMEXO2 is interfaced outwards by connections both at the front and rear panels. Also, internally
 QFS connectors are provided for communication with the FADC mezzanines.

⁴⁶⁵ Connections on the front panel:

• The data is driven differentially from the front-end modules using four HDMI (19 pins) cables. 12 of the pins are used as inputs while the rest remain grounded. Additionally, a screwing tool strengthens the connection against mechanical vibrations.

• Two HDR PoCL-Lite connectors. Used to deliver the power supply to the front-end electronics.

• Four double LEMO 00 connectors to drive 4 inspection lines from signals capable to be visualised. Each inspection line can be daisy-chained to another digitiser, requiring 2 connectors per inspection line. From the 4 inspection lines, 2 are digital and 2 are analog.

- Four LEMO connectors with the following functionalities: external clock, external acquisition stop, external trigger and output clock.
- 475 Connections on the rear panel:



Figure 15: NUMEXO2 power supply distribution.

- One RJ-45 connector used for the TCP/IP readout protocol.
- One RJ-45 connector used to monitor the embedded software booting process using an RS-232 embedded protocol.
- Two LEMO connectors for hard reset and power off.
- An SFP optical connector to link the GTS leaf in Virtex-5 to the V3 mezzanines inside the GTS crate.
- An SFP optical transceiver for the PCIe data transmission. The connector is provided with 4 bidirectional channels.
- An SFP connector to provide the clock the LINCO2 boards.
- 485 Internal board-to-board connectors:

• Each FADC mezzanine is interfaced to NUMEXO2 using two QFS-026-04.25-L-D-PC4 connectors from which the power supply, data, clocks and slow control is provided. Eight connectors are required per digitiser to communicate properly with all the mezzanines.

489 5.4.3 Clock Management

The 100 MHz frequency reference of the analog to digital conversion and processing units is delivered by three different sources: The 100 MHz clock of the local oscillator, the 100 MHz remote clock of an external generator and a 100 MHz clock recovered from the GTS system. The choice of the 100 MHz source is controlled by software. By default, the 100 MHz reference clock is sourced by the local oscillator.

⁴⁹⁵ The block diagram in Fig. 16 shows two parts:



Figure 16: NUMEXO2 clock management block diagram.

GTS clock: the 100 MHz clock is recovered from the optical communication with the GTS system. Once the GTS is locked by the PLL, the 100 MHz GTS clock is sent to a multiplexer.
 The delay line aims to tune the fine coarse alignment of the clock phase regarding the timing of the messages recovered from GTS communication.

• 100 MHz clock selection: the selected clock is sent to a delay line and to a PLL aiming to tune the phase and to distribute the 100 MHz to FPGAs and FADC mezzanines.

502 **5.4.4 Readout Requirements**

Fig. 17 shows the different requirements in terms of data throughput at several points inside NU-MEXO2.

Taking into account that the experimental conditions are expected to work maximally at a counting 505 rate of 50 kHz, the FADC mezzanine maximum sampling frequency at 250 MHz (in practice 200 MHz 506 will be used), and the 14 bit resolution (stored in 2 bytes), the throughputs and data rates can be 507 derived as follows. Assuming that the length of the data packet to send between both FPGAs is 250 508 samples, and the counting rate is $50 \, \text{kHz/channel}$, it can be calculated that the average amount of 509 data per channel is 50 kHz \times 250 samples \times 2 bytes, which gives 25 MB/s/channel. The fast link 510 between the V6 and V5 contains 8 lanes to drive the data retrieved from 2 channels, which increases 511 the total amount of data per lane to 50 MB/s (400 MB/s for all channels). Therefore, taking into 512 account the 4 lanes provided by the PCIe readout in terms of bits/second, one obtains 3.2 Gbps 513 in total and 800 Mbps per PCIe lane. The maximum data rate of PCIe is 10 Gbps, verifying the 514 protocol suitability for this application. 515

516 5.5 Sampling FADC mezzanine

Fig. 18 shows the FADC mezzanine block diagram. The digitiser chosen for this application is the dual FADC ADS62P49, with 14 bits and 250 MS/s. Considering the jitter and noise specifications

16* detectors 1* Detector Counting Rate 50 kHz			<u>Worst case</u> : All Trigger Requests are ac <u>PCIe_4 lanes maximum rate:</u> 10 Gbit/:		re accepted ibit/s	
16* FADC (4* FADCm 1* FADC@250MH2 ⇒500	16 analogsignals channels ezzanines) ,14 bits (=2 Bytes) MB/s			GTS timestamps and validations Trigger Latency = 100us	Virtex5	FIFO
8GB/s	16Tric	Virtex 6		Sorting and Formatting		<pre>FIFO (CY70072V) ► (9MB) DMA</pre>
16*Latch Pipe Line Buffers	Channels 1,2 Channels 3,4	50MB/s 50MB/s		1* DPRAM TL=100µs => Size = 5k8 1* DPRAM		latency
1* LPLB Rate = 500MB/s	Channels 5,6 Channels 7,8	50MB/s 50MB/s		1L=100μs ⇒ Size = 548 1* DPRAM TL=100μs ⇒ Size = 548 1* DPRAM TL=100μs ⇒ Size = 548		
Ilme Window=Lµs ⇒ LPLB Size=500Bytes CR = 50kHz	Channels 9,10 Channels 11,12	50MB/s		1* DPRAM TL=100µs ⇒ Size = 5k8 1* DPRAM TL=100µs ⇒ Size = 5k8	PCle 4	
⇒ Rate =25MB/s	Channels 13,14 Channels 15,16	501MB/s 501MB/s		1* DPRAM TL=100µs ⇒> Size = 5k8 1* DPRAM TL=100µs ⇒> Size = 5k8	lanes ← 3,2 Gbit/s	RX TR RX

Figure 17: NEDA readout requirements block diagram.

of the FADC, the rest of the devices, such as jitter cleaner, analog coupling stages, DACs, power regulators and connectors, have been selected.



Figure 18: FADC mezzanine block diagram, including the most important blocks, such as the FADC device, PLL, DACs, operational amplifiers and connectors.

The analog input stage coupled with the FADC is the most critical in terms of noise. Additionally, extra offsets are added in order to take full profit of the FADC dynamic range, allowing the acquisition of both unipolar and bipolar signals. After a careful study, the coupling is performed by means of AD8139 fully-differential amplifiers (FDA). At this stage, also the gain control is carried out to select ⁵²⁵ a range of either 6 MeV or 20 MeV.

The aforementioned energy ranges can be translated at the level of the mezzanine as voltage-to-526 voltage gains, which are, 1 and 0.25 respectively. Due to stability facts, the amplifier must work 527 minimally under unitary gains, as lower gains make it unstable. Moreover, the noise performance 528 conditions are optimal for unitary gain, worsening for higher gains. Due to the noise constraints 529 and stability issues, the design strategy consisted of using two AD8139-based stages working under 530 unitary gain. The attenuation factor of 0.25 can be then achieved by adding a T-divider in between 531 both stages so that the division ratio and the impedance seen backwards from the amplifier can 532 be designed independently. Based on the schema in Fig. 19, the high-speed analog driver can be 533 designed by applying the following expressions: 534

Gain
$$= \frac{R_{F2}}{R_{G2}} \frac{1}{R_1} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_{G2}}} \right)$$
 (1)

$$R_{\rm F2} = R_{\rm G2} + R_1 ||R_2 \tag{2}$$

$$R_{\rm T}||R_{\rm G1} = 50\,\Omega\tag{3}$$

where R_{Fi} , R_{Gi} refer to the feedback and input resistors of each *i*-th analog AD8139 stage respectively, R_1 and R_2 are the T-divider resistors, and R_T is the input terminator. Equation (2) must be applied in order to make the AD8139 work as q unitary-gain amplifier while eq. (1) is obtained after applying Kirchoff's laws to the T-divider and second stage input nodes. Finally, eq. (3) is used to match the terminator impedance with the cable impedance provided that the cable has a differential impedance of 100 Ω .



Figure 19: FADC mezzanine block diagram, including the most important blocks, such as the FADC device, PLL, DACs, operational amplifiers and connectors.

541 A lower-speed analog driver consists of driving the offset voltages from the DAC towards the high-

speed analog stage using a side summing branch. Finally, the analog stage contains an anti-aliasing

- ⁵⁴³ filter set before the FADC device. It is based on a single-pole RC filter with 100 MHz cut-off
- 544 frequency.

Another important point is the connection to the mezzanine from the front-end electronics. Several quality tests of different cables, such as bandwidth, crosstalk and EMI, were performed. The HDMI v1.4 Infinite was finally chosen as the best solution, see section 5.3. The other interface is connected using a two board-to-board connector to NUMEXO2, connecting slow-control signals, high-speed data, clocks and power nets.

The power supply design must take into account that FADC mezzanine requires several voltages for both analog, mixed and digital devices. The main devices such as the FADC and PLL are supplied independently by their own LDOs. This strategy was followed not only by power consumption and temperature reasons, but also in order to isolate the noise produced by the clock lines into the A/Dconverters.

A testbench platform was developed to test the FADC mezzanine performance, involving on one 555 hand standard A/D conversion parameters such as SINAD, ENOB, THD and, on the other hand 556 parameters linked to the quality of acquisition in the field of nuclear physics, such as the energy 557 resolution and neutron- γ discrimination performance. The mezzanine was tested using a ML605 558 Evaluation Module (which contains a Virtex-6 FPGA), to buffer, read out the data and program 559 the FADC mezzanine via SPI. A second additional board, foreseen as a prototype for the NEDA 560 front-end electronics, connects the laboratory equipment to the FADC mezzanine. The software 561 part is performed using a GUI made in LabView to allow the user to communicate with the firmware 562 and with the mezzanine via a serial port. Hence, the user is able not only to visualise and handle 563 the mezzanine registers, but also to watch the data analysis process on-line. 564

The following describes the parameters that characterise the acquisition system, including measurements of interest for the nuclear physics field. The noise performance of the electronics can be calculated from waveforms containing only the baseline using the expression [15]

$$\sigma_{\rm e} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{12} \cdot 2^{\rm ENOB}}.$$
(4)

Here σ_e is the noise standard deviation in ADC counts obtained experimentally, and R is the dynamic range, also in ADC counts (R = 16384 for a 14 bit ADC). The measurements have been applied as a function of the ADC range since the resolution varies with the input voltage applied. Fig. 20 summarises the results obtained at 200 MHz for all channels.



Figure 20: Baseline resolution results in ADC counts. Results have been obtained for all channels at 200 MS/s and for two bandwidths, 50 MHz (red) and 100 MHz (blue)

⁵⁷² The figure reveals that for the baseline levels, which are the extreme and middle values, σ_e is about

⁵⁷³ 1.4 and increasing to about 2 for some specific cases, verifying the correct behaviour of the system.

574 Eq. (4) gives ENOB = 11.7 with $\sigma_e = 1.4$.

Energy resolution measurements were performed at GANIL in February 2014 with 60 Co and 152 Eu sources and an HPGe detector. The energy spectra, were measured using the firmware prepared for NUMEXO2 in EXOGAM2 containing a MWD (Moving Window Deconvolution) algorithm and the NARVAL data acquisition system [16, 17]. The results of the spectra are shown in Fig. 21. The measured energy resolution of the 1332 keV peak was FWHM = 2.3 keV.



Figure 21: Above: a $^{60}\rm{Co}$ spectrum measured with a HPGe detector and the NUMEXO2. Below: a zoom around the 1173 keV and 1332 keV peaks.

580 5.6 LINCO2 Readout Board

The LINCO2 boards are a set of adapter boards to translate the PCI express signals to/from the optical physical layer to legacy bus standards such as PCI, cPCI, VME, etc. Fig. 22 shows a picture of the LINCO2 board.



Figure 22: LINCO2 board.

LINCO2 boards have already been used for AGATA and for CMS at CERN in harsh environmental conditions. Each LINCO2 board contains 4 SFP optical connectors, a set of high-speed multiplexers, which allow for a selection of either clock or data signals, and a PLX high-speed switch PEX 8609 capable of working up to 20 Gbps for the interface between the optical fibers and the PCIe finger. A Spartan-3A device is used to configure the high-speed blocks providing 3 different configurations: ⁵⁸⁹ 1) capability to transmit 4 clocks, 2) 4 data lanes or 3) 2 clocks and 2 data lanes. In Fig. 23, a ⁵⁹⁰ block diagram of the LINCO2 board is shown.



Figure 23: LINCO2 board block diagram.

591 5.7 Global Trigger and Synchronisation System

An upgrade towards a full digital system requires the implementation of a system capable of synchronising all channels and to cope with event validation/rejection. Inherited from AGATA, and being implemented for the NEDA electronics, this is explicitly the task that the GTS performs. One of the most interesting features it provides is the possibility to use it with different detectors, making it possible to have different combinations of detector couplings.

GTS is based on a tree topology (see Fig. 24) containing three different types of firmware depending 597 on the hierarchical solution: GTS leafs, GTS fan-in/fan-out and GTS root. The GTS leafs are 598 located at the bottom of the tree and placed inside the Virtex-5 in the NUMEXO2. The GTS fan-599 in/fan-out and the GTS root firmware programs are downloaded into the GTS V3 mezzanines, which 600 are located in NIM units in a separate NIM crate that is reserved for the GTS. Fig. 24 shows a picture 601 of the GTS V3 mezzanine. Each GTS V3 has one upstream and three downstream optical links, 602 where each upstream link either from a GTS leaf or from a GTS fan-in/fan-out unit is connected 603 to a downstream link from the upper GTS level. Finally, all nodes converge at the GTS root node, 604 whose upstream link is connected to the GTS trigger processor. The trigger processor is the element 605 at the top of the GTS tree and it is in charge of the event validation and rejection. 606

The full NEDA array (331 detectors) will use 21 NUMEXO2 boards, capable of sampling up to 336 channels and will require a total of 11 GTS V3 units, 10 of them used as 3-to-1 fan-in/fan-out units and one as a root module. For the setup with 48 NEDA detectors, two GTS V3 (one fan-in/fan-out and one root node) are required since only 3 NUMEXO2 boards would be used.



Figure 24: Left: hierarchical topology of the GTS tree, Right: GTS V3 mezzanine.

611 5.7.1 GTS Crate interface

⁶¹² The connections of the GTS V3 mezzanine are the following:

• One SFP connector for the upstream optical link to the top of the tree.

• Three SFP connectors for the downstream optical links to the bottom of the tree.

• Two Mictor connectors for power supply, control and trigger.

⁶¹⁶ Depending on the position in the GTS tree, the proper file (root.mcs, fanin-fanout.mcs, leaf.mcs)

must be downloaded to its Xilinx PROM. Firmware and embedded software (VxWorks OS) files are

obtained from the GTS experts in Padova that are working on the AGATA project. A block diagram

of the GTS NIM module is shown in Fig. 25.



Figure 25: Block diagram of the GTS carrier NIM module.

620 The GTSN NIM module contains the following:

• Four GTS V3 mezzanines implemented on one NIM carrier board. One of the GTS V3 mezzanien, the so called top mezzanine, is linked to the three other GTS V3 mezzanines, so called bottom mezzanines. The three downstream SFP connectors of the top GTS V3 are optically linked to the upstream SFP connector of the three bottom GTS V3s mezzanines.

- Front panel:
- Nine downstream links of the 3 bottom GTS V3 towards the bottom of the tree. Front

627	panel connectors could be SFPs of the GTS V3 or LC fiber optic adaptors.
628	 One upstream link of the top GTS V3 towards the top of the tree. Front panel connector
629	could be SFP of the GTS V3 mezzanine or LC fiber optic adaptor.
630 631 632	– One differential PECL 100 MHz clock output sourced by one bottom GTS V3 from a Mictor connector. Front panel connectors are SMA or double Lemo 00. Jumpers select the connection of signals to connector pins or to 50 Ω GND pulldown resistor.
633	 One differential PECL synchronisation signal output sourced by a bottom GTS V3 from
634	the Mictor connector. Front panel connectors are SMA or double Lemo 00.
635	 One differential PECL 100 MHz clock input, sourcing the top GTS V3 from the Mictor
636	connector. Front panel connectors are SMA or double Lemo 00.
637	 One differential PECL synchronisation signal input, sourcing the top GTS V3 from the
638	Mictor connector. Front panel connectors are SMA or double Lemo 00.
639	Rear panel:
640	 One Ethernet 100 link for control purpose of GTS V3. Each mezzanine has an IP number
641	and is addressed through the Mictor connector. An Ethernet switch is implemented to
642	select one of the four GTS V3 mezzanines. The rear panel connector is RJ45.
643	 One serial link for debugging GTS V3. Each mezzanine is addressed though the Mictor
644	connector. Jumpers select one of the four GTS V3 mezzanines. Rear panel connector is
645	DB9.
646 647	– One NIM connector providing the power for the GTS V3 mezzanines: $12\text{V},~3\text{V}$ and GND.
648	Inside the module:
649	 Four (2 × 7 pins) JTAG connector devoted to download FPGA firmware files and debug-
650	ging. Because the GTS V3 is provided with its FPGA code programmed into the PROM,
651	a downloading action can be avoided. There is one JTAG connector per GTS V3.
652 653	– 50Ω resistors must be put between each unused PECL 100 MHz clock output pin and GND.

654 5.7.2 GTS Trigger Processor

Most of the hardware, firmware and software components are retrieved from AGATA. The main hardware component of the trigger processor which is optically connected to the GTS V3 root is a commercial PCIe card plugged into a PC, the Xpress GenV5ă200. A photograph of the trigger processor board is shown in Fig. 26.

The trigger processor algorithms, which establish either a validation or a rejection of the event, can be various depending on the experimental context. The most common algorithm is the detection of the multiplicity within a coincidence time window. When performing this algorithm the trigger processor collects the timestamps of the incoming trigger requests. Inside the trigger processor, a coincidence time window is a used as buffer, within which the timestamps of surrounding events are compared. A valiadation is provided in case the number of events (multiplicity) within the coincidence time window overcomes a certain threshold. Fig. 27 shows the algorithm structure.

SFP with Gbit links: SFP HSI



XpressGen2V5 200 development board

Figure 26: Trigger processor board.



Figure 27: Multiplicity trigger algorithm implemented in the GTS Trigger processor.

5.8 Basic System Firmware and Software

Model V6-LX130T of the Virtex-6 FPGA from Xilinx is the largest device in NUMEXO2. It carries out most of the pre-processing tasks such as de-serialisation, triggering algorithms, configuration and oscilloscope. Fig. 28 shows all the firmware blocks of the Virtex-6 device.

670 5.8.1 Virtex-6 Firmware IPs

671 5.8.1.1 Input interface ISERDES

The first stage of data readout is performed by using a customised arrangement of serialisation/deserialisation data sub-blocks (called ISERDES), prepared to work at rates higher than 400 Mbps. Internally, the concatenation of the ISERDES blocks include always their own IODELAY coping properly with the delay adjustment. (The ISERDES and IODELAY sub-blocks belong to the Xilinx corporation as well as the arrangement of those to be prepared to work on for data collection of the



Fast DAC @ 200 Msps

Figure 28: NUMEXO2 Virtex-6 block diagram.

677 ADS62P49.)

ISERDES IP has been implemented to deliver four 14 bit outputs, each containing the corresponding even/odd samples of 2 FADC channels as shown in Fig. 29, while at the inputs there are 14 LVDS channel, containing even/odd multiplexed bit duplets. The de-serialisation is performed with a DDR clock latching the odd bits on the rising edge and the even bits on the falling edge, requiring two clock cycles of the FADC output clock to create an output sample at the ISERDES IP output.

Additionally, a half-rate clock is delivered too, which is used as the Chip Scope Pro logic analyser

684 sampling clock.



Figure 29: ISERDES functional block diagram.

685 5.8.1.2 Data management

Sources of NEDA data are the 16 channel samples from FADC mezzanines. Once raw samples are de-serialised, only 250 samples of each channel are kept and sent to the Virtex-5. For each of the 16 channels, the selection window is triggered by the digital discrimination of the raw sample input. The protocol is synchronous and 8 bit data are sent on each transition of the clock. The clock transfer and the FADC clock are synchronous.

⁶⁹¹ 5.8.1.3 Oscilloscope IP

Oscilloscope aims to control digital signals at different points of the processing of the 16 channels. The maximum frequency of the 2 byte signal is 200 MHz and up to four probes can be connected simultaneously. The binary samples of each probe are continuously stored into a 32 kbytes (16 kwords) circular buffer and its content is frozen as soon as a trigger is occurring. For each probe, the type of trigger and the time can be controlled by software:

- Trigger: input threshold, software command.
- Time base: 5 ns (FADC sampling frequency), 10 ns, 20 ns, 40 ns, 80 ns, 160 ns, 320 ns, 640 ns, 1280 ns, 2560 ns, 5120 ns, 10 240 ns, 20 480 ns, 40 960 ns, 81 920 ns, 163 840 ns.

The higher the time base is, the longer is the time inspection window. For example, time base = $163\,840$ ns (1 of 32768 samples is kept) gives an inspection window of about 2.68 s.

702 **5.8.1.4** Inspection Lines

Mainly envisaged to monitor internal signals, enhancing the testability of the NUMEXO2. At the front panel, 2 analog and 2 digital signals can be visualised using LEMO connectors. The wide assortment of selectable signals can be accessed by means of the internal multiplexers inside the V6 and the 2 fast digital-to-analog converters (DACs), allowing visualisation of analog signals. Signals that can be selected are the raw-data input, the output of the trapezoidal filter and the analog-wise conversion of the formatted frame. Regarding the digital lines, several clock sources, trigger signals from the digital CFD and other internal control lines can be selected.

710 5.8.1.5 Set-up register bank

Contains a set of registers used to configure the rest of the blocks within the Virtex-6, aiming to provide a flexible, dynamic and easy-to-configure device. Registers can be read and written using the software tool GECO (Ganil Electronic COntrol), working under the TCP/IP protocol via the Virtex-5. Some of the parameters that the setup block can set are the IODELAY step value, the parameters of the neutron- γ discrimination algorithm, the timescale for the oscilloscope mode and the possibility to either choose parametric or oscilloscope mode in case of using EXOGAM2 electronics via the slow link.

718 5.8.2 Virtex-5 Firmware IP and Embedded Software

A second programmable device on the NUMEXO2 is the Virtex-5 FX70T device, which manages the data reception from the Virtex-6 after the processing. It also manages the communication ports and includes the GTS leaf, linking NUMEXO2 with the GTS. Fig. 30 shows the multiple blocks inside the Virtex-5, described in the following subsections.

723 **5.8.2.1** ADC Interface

The ADC interface block carries out multiple functionalities on the other side of the Virtex-6. Firstly, it receives and unpacks the data frame from Virtex-6 putting it into a buffer and sends the event data to the GTS leaf waiting for it to be validated/rejected. Also, at this level, if the event was validated from the GTS, the leaf attaches the received timestamp and the ADC interface takes the data bundled with the timestamp either to the PowerPC (PPC) in the testing phases of NEDA or to the PCIe through the LINCO2 interface when NEDA is used in real experiments.



Figure 30: NUMEXO2 Virtex-5 internal block diagram. Courtesy of GANIL.

730 5.8.2.2 GTS leaf and PLB Cracus IPs

Inherited from AGATA, the GTS system aims to provide synchronisation in digital multichannel systems and event acception/rejection. Considering that the GTS system as a tree-structure, the GTS leaf is hierarchically placed at the bottommost part, and transmits the events from the ADC interface to the rest of the GTS, placed outside the Virtex-5. Each NUMEXO2 contains one GTS leaf, connected optically to the GTS NIM crate and is capable of managing 16 channels.

736 PLB_cracus is a set of 32 bit registers, which interface the PLB bus and the GTS leaf IP. There are

⁷³⁷ three types of registers: reg_ctrl_i (written by the PPC), reg_ctrl_default_i (register values

⁷³⁸ at power on), and reg_status_i (read by the PPC).

⁷³⁹ A block diagram of the GTS leaf is shown in Fig. 31.



Figure 31: GTS leaf block diagram.

740 Other functionalities of the GTS leaf:

• Optical transceiver/receiver control: a clock multiplexer that allows the selection between several input clock sources (local oscillator, recovered from the GTX, external), providing it to a PLL.

- Data path block: aiming to equalise the phase of the GTX and control the data direction (TX or RX).
- Trigger core: mainly used to exchange messages between the Virtex-6, the trigger request and
 the transceivers.
- At the leaf level: the timestamp is generated and attached to the validated/rejected event. It consists of a 48 bit counter, with a resolution of 10 ns.

750 The trigger validation/rejection can be sketched easily with the chronogram shown in Fig. 32. After the triggering algorithm identifies the pulse to be due to a neutron, a trigger request is sent to 751 the GTS leaf. Inside the leaf, a timestamp is attached, which is used to tag the moment at which 752 the trigger request was stored with a resolution of 10 ns. Then, the GTS leaf sends the event 753 to the trigger processor, waiting to be validated/rejected. The time elapsed between the trigger 754 request and the notification to the trigger processor is called local latency, which mainly the GTS 755 leaf is responsible for and which usually is 1 clock cycle. Together with the validation/rejection 756 signal received, the field (named val_rej_tag[7:0]), contains the timestamp of the event that 757 was previously sent to the trigger processor, and the event counter, which is placed in the last three 758 bytes. 759



Figure 32: GTS chronogram cycle.

760 5.8.2.3 Embedded PowerPC

Virtex-5 includes a hardware PowerPC 440 processor with an embedded Linux OS, facilitating to 761 cope with the complexity of the TCP/IP protocol. The processor carries in itself a good part of 762 tasks among which one can find the configuration of the rest of the blocks inside the Virtex-5, such 763 as the Ethernet Gigabit management, configuration of the PCIe setup registers, the GTS leaf setup 764 (performed through the PLB Cracus IP), interaction with the Virtex-6 setup, FADC mezzanine SPI 765 registers, B3 registers, as well as the management of external Flash (256 MB) and DDR (1 GB) 766 memories and a serial port which allows to monitor the status of the booting of the Linux OS. 767 Although Virtex-5 can be clocked from many sources as detailed in GTS leaf paragraph 5.8.2.2, the 768 PPC is the only device in the whole NUMEXO2 module that always must be clocked from a local 769 clock. 770

771 **5.8.2.4 I/O Ethernet/PCIe**

NUMEXO2 includes as well an optical link containing 4 PCIe Endpoint lanes, capable to run up to
3.2 Gbps (800 Mbps each), fulfilling NEDA specifications in terms of data throughput. In the middle
of the PCIe driver there is a FIFO used to buffer the data between the ADC interface and the driver
itself. Fig. 33 shows the block diagram of the PCIe and PCIe_FIFO IPs.



Figure 33: PCIe block diagram.

5.9 Implementation of the NEDA Trigger Algorithm

The task of NEDA, when combined with a γ -ray spectrometer, is to provide a clue of the reaction channel for a certain nucleus, using the number of detected neutrons as a probe. The neutron detectors of NEDA are based on organic scintillators, which also are sensitive to γ rays. Therefore a method to distinguish between neutrons and γ rays is required. By using a real-time processing technique, to perform the dicrimination of neutrons and γ rays on-line. an increase of the overall system efficiency would be achieved if only events produced by neutrons are of interest and if events produced by γ rays could be rejected.

Since the beginning of the study of the particle interaction with matter, a wide set of methods were developed to deal with the discrimination between particles based on PSA techniques. Although some of the methods provide remarkable discrimination ratios, when dealing with hardware implementation, it was preferred to focus on simple algorithms capable of providing good efficiency. Hence, the goal of the PSA implemented in the FPGA is to provide a first basic discrimination aimed to reduce the events produced by γ rays. Two methods are proposed: charge comparison and zero crossover.

791 5.9.1 Trigger Algorithms

The charge-comparison (CC) method provides a discrimination based on the integrated charge at different positions of the waveform after the start of the pulse. Amplitude-normalised average γ ray and neutron waveforms are shown in Fig. 34. As seen, there is a clear difference in the tail of the pulses. The CC method uses the ratio between the integral of the tail of the pulse (named slow component) and the integral covering the rising edge and part of the falling edge after the peak (called fast integral). This ratio, here called δ , is then used to discriminate between neutrons and γ rays.

In the digital domain, δ becomes $\hat{\delta}$, and α and β , which are the integration limits over the fast and



Figure 34: Examples of average neutron (blue) and γ ray (red) waveforms.

slow components, respectively, become sums according to this expression:

$$\delta = \frac{\int_{\beta} \mathbf{v}(t) dt}{\int_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}(t) dt} \to \frac{\sum_{n=\alpha+1}^{\beta} \mathbf{v}(n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{v}(n)}.$$
(5)

The zero-crossover (ZCO) method is based on a shaping (either analog or digital shaping) of the pulse into a bipolar signal and on measuring the time between the leading edge of the original pulse, usually obtained by a CFD, and the zero-crossover of the bipolar signal. Neutrons give a larger zero-crossover time than γ rays as illustrated in Fig. 35.



Figure 35: Illustration of the ZCO method for neutron- γ discrimination.

In a similar manner as an analog shaper works, a digital shaper can be designed by means of difference equations by applying a conversion technique to the original analog transfer function. The resultant signal contains a fast component with positive sign and a slow component with negative sign. Comparing the response to the CR-RC, the convolution can be divided into three terms analogously to the integral and differential terms of the analog response. Additionally a smoothing function is used to make an average of each sampling point and its neighbors. Hence, the function can be written as

$$f(t) = h(t) * p(t) = h_{s}(t) * h_{i}(t) * h_{d}(t) * p(t).$$
(6)

Here, $h_s(t)$ is a smoothing function, $h_i(t)$ the integral term corresponding to the RC part and $h_d(t)$ is the differential term. Finally, p(t) is the input and f(t) the output. The ZCO is computed between the polarity change and the time when the original signal overcomes the threshold. The quality for the discrimination dependens also on the time resolution of the ZCO time. Usually it requires interpolation techniques on the polarity change to enhance the resolution.

⁸¹⁵ A comparison of the figure-of-merit values of the neutron- γ discrimination performance was made for ⁸¹⁶ the CC and ZCO methods. In view of the smaller amount of resources required, especially in terms ⁸¹⁷ of hardware multipliers and the simplicity of control, the CC method was selected to be implented ⁸¹⁸ in the Virtex-6 for on-line discrimination of neutrons and γ rays.

5.9.2 Charge-Comparison Method

The block diagram in Fig. 36 shows how the CC algorithm can be implemented in the FPGA. Given the raw data at 200 MS/s at the input, the algorithm delivers a signal to the GTS if the event detected was a neutron

822 detected was a neutron.



Figure 36: Hardware block diagram of the charge-comparison method.

Taking a closer look at the block diagram, the system architecture consists of a main controller based on a FSM, and a set of slave blocks used for threshold, integration and pedestal removal. Each sub-block has as well a local controller inside in case of complex operations. The blocks are the following:

- Main controller: enables/disables the rest of the blocks according to a set of parameters and to an execution sequence.
- Moving average filter: precedes the threshold detector. By using this filter, the threshold detection avoids spurious noise sources providing more robustness. For this case, an 8th order moving average is used.
- Threshold detector: delivers a pulse to the main controller if the signal crosses a certain level set by the user as a parameter.
- Integrators: using the recursive addition method, the integrators provide the slow, fast and baseline integrals I_S , I_F and I_B , the last one being calculated over 32 samples preceeding the trigger. After an integral is finished, it sends a flag to the main controller indicating that the operation has been finished.
- Match unit: a sub-block inside the main controller, takes the flags after the integrations have been calculated and waits until the last integration is done. Afterwards, it sends a flag to the pedestal correction unit to start the following calculation process.
 - Pedestal correction unit and neutron-γ discrimination: gathered in the same block due to the reusability of the hardware resources, this multifunctional block calculates both the part of the integral that should be subtracted from the pulse and provides the trigger to the GTS after comparing both integrals with the parameter. Besides, it incorporates a set of 6 PISO

(Parallel-In Serial-Out) registers in case results of the integration is required to be read with a minimal amount of resources from a logic analyser. Hence, taking as the inputs I_S , I_F and I_B , the block delivers:

$$\widehat{l}_{\mathsf{S}} = l_{\mathsf{S}} - \beta \bar{l}_{\mathsf{B}} \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{l}_{\rm F} = l_{\rm f} - \alpha \bar{l}_{\rm B} \tag{8}$$

$$n/\gamma = 1$$
 if $\hat{l}_{S} \ge \hat{\delta} \hat{l}_{F}$

$$n/\gamma = 0$$
 if $\hat{I}_{S} < \hat{\delta}\hat{I}_{F}$ (9)

To calibrate the values of lpha, eta and $\widehat{\delta}$, a normalised and averaged set of γ -ray and neutron waveforms

have been used. Originally, the samples were collected by a Struck module at 500 MS/s. Afterwards, the waveforms were produced using an arbitrary waveform generator (Agilent 33522A). Fig. 37 shows the results obtained for different values of α and β .



Figure 37: $\hat{l_s}/\hat{l_f}$ versus signal amplitude. Upper: sweep across several α values with $\beta = 100$. Lower: sweep across several β values with $\alpha = 5$. Events produced by γ rays are plotted as blue stars and neutrons as red circles.

In Fig.37, it can be seen that for β values smaller than 50, the neutron- γ discrimination is completely lost. Good discrimination values have been obtained for $\beta = 100$ and $\alpha = 5$ and $\alpha = 6$. After scaling the decimal values of the integrals and applying a 2^N-power factor to the result of $\hat{I}_{\rm F}$, the $\hat{\delta}$ value can be chosen as an integer number to facilitate the calculations.

6 Global Trigger and Synchronisation System

The Global Trigger and Synchronisation (GTS) system is responsible for the data synchronisation, clock distribution and trigger management in NEDA; as such, it is conceived as a stand-alone system completely decoupled from the readout chain. Its development has been inspired by the TTC (Timing, Trigger and Control) system at CERN LHC [18]. The GTS system is already fully operational in the AGATA experiment since 2009 [19, 20]. Nevertheless it has to be adapted for the NEDA requirements. The NEDA data stream relies on an absolute time being available at the digitisation and preprocessing level. This implies the distribution of a high number of phase-locked and phase-matched clocks to all the digitising modules. The requirements on the GTS system may be summarised as follows:

- The clocks at the sampling FADCs have to be synchronised with a sub-ns precision. This avoids calibration steps that are time-consuming and impractical in NEDA, due to the high number of acquisition channels.
- The system must be able to sustain trigger validations at a rate of 1 MHz with channel multiplicity of 1 (e.g. a candidate event made of a single energy deposit in a pre-selected time window), and at a rate of 50 kHz with a channel multiplicity 30 (e.g. a candidate event made of up to thirty energy deposits in a pre-selected time window).
- The percentage of trigger loss, namely the trigger requests that can't be processed, has to be lower than a few percent.
- The system has to be scalable: going from 2 to 200 detectors should not induce any conceptual change in the hardware or software involved.

All data sent from one detector are processed on an NIM board called NUMEXO2 carrier that 871 contains in total four mezzanine cards with two FADCs each. A pulse-shape analysis algorithm 872 implemented in the core mezzanine issues a trigger request whenever a neutron is discriminated 873 from γ rays. The GTS system forwards the requests to the global trigger processor and sends back 874 the timestamp identifying the trigger requests. The timestamps of the trigger requests are used 875 by the trigger processor for correlating requests from several detectors in order to possibly validate 876 simple or time delayed coincidences. Upon receiving the trigger timestamp, the readout electronics 877 records a snapshot of the incoming signals, filters them and waits for a possible validation. A 878 validation or rejection of the candidate event eventually arrives from the trigger processor with a 879 maximum latency of 20 μ s. Several requests can be sent before the arrival of the validation/rejection, 880 hence the validation has to contain the timestamp of the original trigger request. Indeed, the order in 881 which trigger requests are sent can differ from the order of reception of the validations; the sequence 882 depends on the configuration of the trigger rule (e.g. delayed coincidence). Trigger requests and 883 trigger validations include also an identification of the channel that is used by the trigger processor 884 as geographical information for possible partitioning of the complex detector at the trigger level. 885 The acceptance of a timestamp validation to a given channel triggers its local readout. 886

The readout electronics stores data in internal FIFOs. The system can be immediately rearmed after a trigger request and can accept other trigger requests as long as the internal buffers are not full. The trigger processor, which is the root of the GTS tree, assigns the event number, while forwarding its decision (validation or rejection) to the GTS mezzanine. When the event is accepted, the data are forwarded to the carrier board memory and hence, through a PCIe optical link, to the acquisition computer.

The design of the front-end readout follows a synchronous pipeline model: the detector data are stored in pipeline buffers at the global NEDA frequency, waiting for the global trigger decision. The time between the firing of a trigger request and the consequent validation or rejection is called the trigger latency. This latency is not required to be constant for each trigger request (and actually it is not), but it should fit within the pipeline buffer length. The whole system behaves synchronously; for a proper operation of the system, synchronisation at different levels has to be achieved and monitored. Table 4 summarises the five types of synchronisation present in the AGATA readout.

A variable but finite number of global time referenced signals are needed for guaranteeing synchronism of the system elements. In AGATA, they are conveyed through serial optical bidirectional links. These links connect the front-end and readout electronics of each crystal with a central global timing and synchronisation control unit in a tree-like structure, see Fig. 38. They merge together the three

Synch. type	Description
Sampling	Synchronisation of the detector signals with the clock phase
Serial Link	Recovery of parallel data words from the serial bit stream
Trigger Requests Alignment	Alignment of trigger data at the input of the pipelined trigger processor
L1 Validations	Synchronisation of L1 validation signal with data into the readout pipelines
Event	Assignment of global clock and event number to data fragments in the DAQ path

Table 4:	Synchronisation	types
----------	-----------------	-------

⁹⁰⁴ basic functionalities: synchronisation distribution, global control and trigger transport.



Figure 38: Topology of the GTS system

All GTS nodes provide a fast ethernet connection, which is used for slow control and monitoring. A 905 slow control procedure involving the whole tree allows the synchronisation of the clocks. Differently 906 from the previous versions of GTS, in NEDA one GTS leaf should be able to serve multiple trigger 907 requests in the same timestamp. To this end, as many trigger request lines are needed as the 908 maximum number of detectors that concurrently may ask for a trigger. The trigger requesters will 909 be implemented in the Virtex-6 FPGA of the NUMEXO2 board, while the GTS leaf will be on the 910 Virtex-5 FPGA as the buffering of the events waiting for a validation or a rejection. Given the 911 segmentation of the hardware, a maximum of 16 trigger requests are expected to be served for each 912 clock cycle. 913

914 7 Data Acquisition System

NEDA will use the AGATA data acquisition (DAQ) system, which was already successfully used together with the MBS DAQ system during the AGATA-PRESPEC campaign at GSI in 2012 and 2014. A description of the AGATA DAQ can be found in Ref. [1].

918 8 Digital Timing Measurements

NEDA has been conceived to use digital electronics with a sufficiently high sampling rate to enable good timing and NGD performance. For this purpose, a digitiser with 14 bits (11.7 effective number of bits; ENOB) and a sampling rate of 200 MS/s has been designed [11], see section 5

At present it has not been convincingly demonstrated that low sampling frequency digital modules are competitive with their analogue predecessors for fast photomultipliers tubes (PMT). Therefore, it was necessary to carefully check how the sampling rate and bandwidth constrain the digital timing performance compared to that obtained with analogue electronics. For example, for very fast timing applications, it has been shown that digital algorithms for BaF₂ scintillators, using a 1 GS/s sampling ADC, can give a timing performance that is better than that obtained with traditional analogue systems [21].

Besides achieving the best possible timing resolution, digital systems have been widely employed for PSA to perform NGD with organic scintillators [22], using the zero-crossing [23] and double integration methods [24, 25]. Specifically for the BC501A scintillator, digital NGD has been widely exploited [26, 27, 28, 29] and it has been shown that for PSA purposes a digitiser with a sampling rate of 200 MS/s and a resolution of 14 bits is suitable [27].

The present work aims to study the pulse-timing performance of four 5 inch PMTs (XP4512, ET9390-934 kb, R4144 and R11833-100) coupled to a 5 inch by 5 inch BC501A scintillator detector. In order 935 to quantify the timing properties of the PMTs, a CFD algorithm was developed. The zero-crossing 936 of the CFD was obtained with a cubic spline interpolation, which was continuous up to the second 937 derivative. The waveforms were digitised with a 12 bit resolution 500 MS/s FADC and were down-938 sampled to 200 MS/s in order to mimic the future electronics of the NEDA array. The performance 939 of the algorithm, with respect to the timing resolution, was studied at the sampling rates 500 MS/s 940 and 200 MS/s and compared to results obtained with a standard analogue CFD. 941

942 8.1 Experimental Setup and Measurements

A schematic picture of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 39. Gamma rays from a 60 Co 943 source were measured in coincidence between a cylindrical 5 inch by 5 inch BC501A liquid scintillator 944 detector and a cylindrical 1 inch by 1 inch BaF_2 crystal. The distance from the source to the front 945 face of the detectors was 20 cm and 5 cm for the BC501A and BaF_2 detectors, respectively. The 946 detectors were placed at an angle of 90° with respect to the outgoing γ rays. A 5 cm thick lead 947 shield was placed between the detectors in order to minimise the detection of γ rays that were 948 scattered from one detector into the other. The lead brick did not shadow the detectors from the 949 ⁶⁰Co source. 950

The tested 5 inch PMTs were Photonis XP4512, Hamamatsu R4144, Hamamatsu R11833-100 and ET Enterprises ET9390-kb, which in turn were coupled to the same liquid scintillator detector. The BaF₂ crystal was coupled to a fast 2 inch PMT of model Hamamatsu R2059. All PMTs were magnetically shielded with μ metal. The high voltage (HV) of all tested PMTs was set to get an anode signal amplitude of 1 V/MeV, while the HV for the BaF₂ PMT was set to -1806 V. Table 5 shows the HV values used for the 5 inch PMTs.

The anode signals from the detectors were connected to LeCroy N428A linear fan-in/fan-out units, from which the output signals were sent to the sampling ADCs and to analogue CFD units of type Phillips 715. The values of the thresholds and shaping delays of the CFD for the 5 inch PMTs are given in Table 5. The thresholds were adjusted to the minimum and the shaping delays were optimised to obtain the best possible time resolutions. For the BaF_2 detector, the CFD threshold was set to -40 mV and the shaping delay to 5 ns. All detectors were running with count rates of



Figure 39: Schematic picture of the setup employed for the pulse-timing measurements. The analogue and digital electronics chains are indicated.

⁹⁶³ about 4 kHz and the coincidence rate was about 30 Hz.

The analogue time difference between the BC501A and BaF₂ detectors was obtained by using an 964 Ortec 566 TAC (500 ns range). The start and stop signals of the TAC were the CFD signals from 965 the BC501A and BaF_2 detectors, respectively. For the stop signal, a delay of 70 ns was used. The 966 start signal was only produced if it overlapped in time with a wide BaF_2 signal in the coincidence 967 unit LeCroy 465. A signal from this unit was also used as a trigger for the data acquisition system. 968 The detector waveforms were digitised with a sampling ADC of model Struck SIS3350, a VME unit 969 with four channels, each with a sampling frequency of 500 MS/s, a resolution of 12 bits (9.2 ENOB) 970 and a dynamic range of 2 V. The analogue output signal from the TAC was digitised with a Struck 971 SIS3302 sampling ADC (single width 6U VME, 8 channels, 100 MS/s, 16 bit). The digitisers were 972 read out through the VME bus and the data were sent to the data acquisition system via a Struck 973 SIS3100 controller using an optical link. The pulse-timing properties of the 5 inch PMTs were studied 974 at the sampling rates 500 MS/s and 200 MS/s. The original waveforms, sampled at 500 MS/s were 975 down-sampled to 200 MS/s, using as a filter a discrete averaging with an effective cut-off frequency 976 at 100 MS/s. The signal from the BaF_2 detector was sampled at 500 MS/s and no down-sampling 977 was performed for this detector. 978

979 8.2 Results and Discussion

In this section, the time resolutions obtained with the digital method will be discussed and compared
 with the results from the analogue measurements.

 $_{982}$ Fig. 40 shows the waveforms for the four tested PMTs, averaged over 10^5 signals, from the 500 MS/s

Detector	HV [V]	Threshold [mV]	$\Delta \; [\rm{ns}]$
$R2059/BaF_2$	-1806	-40(5)	5
XP4512	-1140	-35(5)	10
R4144	-1452	-40(5)	10
R11833-100	-1390	-40(5)	12
ET9390-kb	-1206	-30(5)	25

Table 5: High voltage (HV) settings of the PMTs, threshold and shaping delay (Δ) values used by the analogue CFD.

digitiser. Average rise times of 4.9(4) ns, 3.8(3) ns, 6.3(7) ns, 13.5(13) ns, are measured with the XP4512, R4144, R11833-100 and ET9390-kb PMTs, respectively. The results are shown in Table 6. For the fastest PMTs, a 500 MS/s sampling rate provides only two or three sampling points in the rising edge of the signal. Thus, accurate timing algorithms should preferably use sampling points in

⁹⁸⁷ a range larger than what is available in the rising edge of the signal.

Table 6: Measured rise times, blue photocathode sensitivity (S_{pc}), number of photoelectrons per MeV (NPE, see Sec. 9.3), and time resolution of the tested PMTs. An energy threshold of 100 keV was applied.

PMT	Rise time	S _{pc}	NPE	Time resolution (FWHM) [p		HM) [ps]
	[ns]	$[\mu A/Im]$	$[1/{ m MeV}]$	Analogue	500MS/s	200MS/s
XP4512	4.9(4)	10.6	1330(70)	690(30)	660(30)	740(30)
R4144	3.8(3)	10.2	950(60)	750(30)	710(30)	870(30)
R11833-100	6.3(7)	13.5	1830(90)	743(13)	730(20)	760(20)
ET9390-kb	13.5(13)	12.0	1550(50)	1470(20)	1330(30)	1360(20)

Fig. 41 shows the rise time extracted from the digitised waveforms as a function of the signal amplitude. As seen in the figure, there is no appreciable dependence of the rise time on the signal amplitude for any of the PMTs, which shows that the constant fraction is a suitable technique for these signals.

Digital constant fraction algorithms have already been studied in different systems, such as 100 MS/s sampled waveforms from charge sensitive preamplifiers [30], or for signals from BaF₂ scintillators [21]. This algorithm has also been implemented digitally on FPGA devices employing a linear interpolation of the zero crossing [31]. However, the cubic spline interpolation for pulse timing has been shown to improve the resolution considerably in certain systems [30]. Consequently, a constant fraction algorithm was developed in this work with the zero-crossing time determined using a cubic spline interpolation, with continuous first and second derivatives.

⁹⁹⁹ A zero-crossing signal ZC_i is created by summing the original waveform S_i multiplied by a factor χ ¹⁰⁰⁰ and its inverted signal delayed by an integer number of samples Δ :

$$ZC_i = \chi \left(S_i - BS \right) - \left(S_{i-\Delta} - BS \right).$$
(10)

The baseline *BS* is first calculated and then subtracted from both the delayed and scaled components. The zero-crossing point is then obtained by interpolating between the first negative sample and the preceding sample, at a reference height of 5 mV over the baseline. The interpolation consists of a cubic spline employing 6 sampling points, with continuous first and second derivatives (C^2). The



Figure 40: Digitised waveforms averaged over 10^5 events for the four 5 inch PMTs coupled to a cylindrical 5 inch by 5 inch BC501A. The sampling frequency of the digitiser was 500 MS/s. The waveforms were normalised to a pulse height of 1000 and time aligned at the maximum of the signal. Dashed lines are drawn at 10% and 90% of the rise time, at the maximum and at the baseline of the waveform to guide the eye.

delay Δ , together with the factor χ were chosen in order to optimise the time resolution of each PMT. With this two-parameter digital method, the best timing result was obtained for all PMTs by using a slightly shorter delay compared to the shaping delay used for the analogue CFD module.

Fig. 42a shows an example of the waveform S_i , the scaled signal $\chi \cdot S_i$, the delayed and inverted signal $-S_{i-\Delta}$, and the resulting zero-crossing signal ZC_i measured with the PMT R11833-100. The grey area highlights the six sampling points used for the zero-crossing interpolation. It contains all the samples in the leading edge of the delayed and inverted signal. The cubic spline interpolation C^2 was compared with a cubic spline interpolation C^1 (continuous only up to the first derivative), in which four points were employed, and with a linear interpolation.

Fig. 42b shows as an example the time distribution obtained for the PMT R11833-100 with the three 1014 different interpolations at a sampling rate of 200 MS/s and with a threshold of 100 keV. The use 1015 of a cubic spline interpolation improved significantly the time resolution with respect to the linear 1016 one: the FWHM was 1460(120) ps with the linear interpolation, 920(20) ps with the cubic spline 1017 interpolation C^1 and 775(15) ps with the cubic spline interpolation C^2 . The use of six sampling 1018 points and a C^2 cubic function, led to much better results when the sampling rate was lowered. 1019 Fig. 42.c shows the time resolution for the cubic interpolations at the sampling rates 500 MS/s and 1020 200 MS/s for the PMT R11833-100. While both algorithms achieve the same time resolution at 1021 500 MS/s, the C^2 cubic spline interpolation improves the time resolution by 15% compared to the 1022 C^1 interpolation at 200 MS/s. 1023

The time resolutions of all four PMTs, using both analogue and digital electronics, were evaluated from time distributions containing 10^5 events. One additional measurement was performed by using two XP4512 PMTs and two cylindrical 5 inch by 5 inch BC501A detectors. This was done in order



Figure 41: Rise time as a function of the signal amplitude determined from the digitised waveforms for PMT a) XP4512, b) R4144, c) R11833-100 and d) ET9390-kb. The width of the amplitude windows was 100 keV. The values obtained for all amplitudes above a threshold of 100 keV are shown at the x-axis position labeled AVG and the rise-time distributions for this case are plotted on the right hand side. Error bars indicate the 1σ width of the rise-time distributions.

to estimate the contribution of the BaF_2 reference detector to the evaluated time resolutions. The result obtained was that the FWHM of the BaF_2 detector was at most 200 ps.

Fig. 43 shows the time resolution as a function of signal amplitude in keV for the four tested PMTs and measured with both analogue and digital electronics at the sampling rates 500 MS/s and 200 MS/s. For all measurements, the time resolutions achieved with the digital system at 500 MS/s was at least as good as the ones obtained with the analogue electronics. For signals with large amplitudes, the time resolution of the digital system at 500 MS/s was better than the analogue one for the XP4512 and R4144 PMTs.

It may be noticed that the intrinsic time resolution of the analogue and digital modules is considered similar, and negligible with respect to the nanosecond range of the time resolution for the whole system. For example, the time resolution of a pulser digitised with a 250 MS/s FADC is \approx 60 ps [32], a value which is similar to the time walk of commercial analogue CFD modules.

A summary of the time resolutions obtained with the analogue and digital systems at a threshold of 1040 keV, is shown in Table 6. For all measurements, the FWHM refers to the total resolution of the



Figure 42: Illustration of the digital constant fraction algorithm. a) An example of a waveform and its zero-crossing signal, measured with the R11833-100 PMT at a sampling rate of 500 MS/s. The horizontal black line is the baseline and the horizontal red line is the reference to get the zerocrossing. The grey area indicates the samples used for the cubic interpolation C^2 . b) Time-difference distribution obtained with the R11833-100 PMT at 200 MS/s using the linear, cubic C^1 and cubic C^2 interpolations. c) Time resolution as a function of sampling frequency for the R11833-100 PMT using cubic C^1 and C^2 interpolations.

system, including the contribution from the BaF₂ reference detector. The digital performance of 1041 each PMT is correlated with the signal rise time and the number of photoelectrons. On one hand, 1042 the PMTs XP4512, R4144 and R11833-100, with rise times 4.90(44) ns, 3.8(3) ns, 6.30(77) ns, 1043 respectively, achieve a similar average time resolution of better than $FWHM = 750 \, ps$ with analogue 1044 electronics. The worse time resolution for the ET9390-kb, with a FWHM of 1470 ps, is due to its 1045 significantly larger signal rise time of 13.5(13) ns. On the other hand, the time resolution strongly 1046 depends on the number of photoelectrons (NPE, see Sec. 9.3) emitted from the photocathode. This 1047 is translated to a dependency in energy as $1/\sqrt{E}$ [33], making also the PMT blue photocathode 1048 sensitivity S_{pc} an important parameter for the time resolution. The R11833-100 and ET9390-kb 1049 PMTs are slower, but have higher S_{pc} values, than the other two PMTs (see Table 6). Therefore 1050 they exhibit less degradation in time resolution when down-sampling from 500 MS/s to 200 MS/s. 1051



Figure 43: Time resolutions (FWHM) measured with a) XP4512, b) R4144, c) ET9390-kb and d) R11833-100 PMTs as a function of the waveform amplitude. blue: 500 MS/s, grey: 200 MS/s, red: analogue.

The increase of the FWHM values at the very end of the Compton edge (above 800 keV, see Fig. 43) is worth noticing. This is interpreted as being due to multiple-Compton scattering of γ rays inside the detector. In such cases, the production of light at two (or more) locations inside the scintillator worsens the time resolution.

1056 8.3 Conclusions

In summary, the timing performance of four 5 inch photomultiplier tubes (XP4512, R4144, R11833-1057 100, ET9390-kb), connected to a cylindrical 5 inch by 5 inch BC501A scintillator detector, were 1058 measured by using digital electronics and a BaF₂ detector as time reference. The detector waveforms 1059 were digitised by a flash ADC with a resolution of 12 bits and sampling frequency of 500 MS/s. 1060 Measurements were also performed with a sampling frequency down-sampled to 200 MS/s. A CFD 1061 algorithm, consisting of a zero-crossing signal obtained as a cubic spline interpolation continuous 1062 up to the second derivative, was applied on the digitised waveforms. The obtained time resolutions 1063 were compared to the results obtained with a standard analogue CFD. Similar time resolutions were 1064

achieved with the analogue measurement and the digital measurement at 500 MS/s, with only a small degradation at 200 MS/s. Among the four different PMTs tested, the XP4512 and R11833-1007 DMTs performed slightly better at 200 MS/s compared to the other models, giving a FWHM value that was lower than 800 ps. From the present digital measurements, one can state that the use of a digitiser with a sampling rate of 200 MS/s and a resolution of 12 bits will give a time resolution for the detectors of the future NEDA array that is as good as what can be obtained with standard analogue CFDs.

9 Optimal Photomultiplier Tube for Neutron-Gamma Discrimination

¹⁰⁷³ This section describes the work performed to find a suitable 5 inch diameter PMT for NEDA [29]. ¹⁰⁷⁴ The neutron- γ discrimination (NGD) performance of a BC501A liquid scintillator detector coupled ¹⁰⁷⁵ to four different PMTs, with characteristics given in Table 7, were tested extensively.

Table 7: The characteristics of the studied 5 inch photomultiplier tubes. The given values for the anode pulse rise time are taken from the data sheets provided by the manufacturers. The measured rise times are considerably larger than these values, mainly due to the fact that the PMTs are coupled to a large scintillator [34].

РМТ	ET9390kb	R11833-100	XP4512	R4144
Manufacturer	ET Enterprises	Hamamatsu	Philips/Photonis	Hamamatsu
Photocathode material	bialkali	superbialkali	bialkali	bialkali
Quantum efficiency [%]	28	35	24	22
Number of dynode stages	10	8	10	8
Anode pulse rise time [ns]	5	4.3	2.5	1.5
Voltage divider model	C636	E6316-01MOD2	VD123K (active)	E7693MOD2

1076 9.1 Experiment

The measurements were carried out at INFN-LNL in Legnaro, Italy. The experimental setup is 1077 illustrated in Fig. 44. All four tested PMTs have a diameter of 5 inches and were coupled to the 1078 same cylindrical cell containing BC501A scintillator liquid, 5 inches in diameter and 5 inches in depth. 1079 The BC501A detector was placed at 50 cm from a ²⁵²Cf source to detect the neutrons. The activity 1080 of the source was about 2 MBq. The high voltage of the PMT was set to get a signal amplitude 1081 of about 1 V/MeV for each PMT using a 60 Co source. All PMTs were shielded with μ metal from 1082 magnetic fields. A lead brick with a thickness of 5 cm was put between the source and the BC501A 1083 detector. This shielding reduced the count rate due to γ rays without losing too many neutrons, thus 1084 keeping the count rate of the PMT at a reasonable value of around 2 kHz. In addition, a cylindrical 1085 1 inch by 1 inch BaF₂, mounted on a 2 inch PMT R2059, was placed as close as possible to the 1086 252 Cf source for detection of γ rays, which provided a time reference for the time-of-flight (TOF) 1087 measurements. A time-to-amplitude (TAC) module was used to measure the time difference between 1088 1089 the two detectors, using the coincidence signal (leading edge defined by the BC501A detector) as start, and a delayed signal from the BaF_2 detector as stop. 1090

The threshold of the CFD was set to approximately 30 keVee (keV electron equivalent). The counting rate of the BaF₂ detector was 200 kHz and the coincidence rate was 200 Hz. Signals from both detectors were digitised with a Struck SIS3350 digitiser [35] working at a 500 MS/s sampling rate and with 12 bit resolution (effective number of bits = 9.2). The analogue TAC and coincidence

signals were also digitised by a Struck SIS3302 digitiser [36] with 100 MS/s sampling rate and 1095 16 bit resolution (effective number of bits 13). The data acquisition system was triggered by the 1096 coincidence signals [37]. In this study, the digital signals from the BC501A detector, together with 1097 the TOF information, were used for NGD. For each PMT, a total of 100000 pulse events were 1098 analysed in the present work. The total numbers of recorded sampling points were 496 and 488 for 1099 SIS3350 and SIS3302, respectively. The baseline shift was removed for each pulse by subtracting 1100 the average value of 70 sampling points in the pre-trigger range of the digitised waveform. A small 1101 amount of distorted pulses (< 1% of the total), with heavily fluctuating baselines, were discarded. 1102



Figure 44: Block scheme of the experimental arrangement.

9.2 Digital CFD and Average Waveforms

Since the dynamic range of the scintillator pulse amplitude is quite large, a leading edge discriminator 1104 would cause a dependence of the trigger time on the pulse amplitude, an effect called time walk [38]. 1105 A CFD has been implemented digitally to generate, for each signal, a fixed time after the leading 1106 edge of the pulse has reached a constant fraction of the pulse amplitude [34]. The process involves 1107 taking the sum of the original signal attenuated to 20% and the delayed and inverted original signal, 1108 followed by extracting the point that this sum signal crosses the zero axis. This point corresponds 1109 to the time at which the original pulse reaches 20% of its final amplitude. This timing reference, 1110 which is independent of the peak height, has been used in the NGD to accurately determine the 1111 integration ranges for each signal. The average waveforms, time-aligned using the digital CFD, for 1112 each PMT are shown in Fig. 45. It can be seen that each pulse was triggered at the same time in 1113 spite of the different pulse shapes. An obvious slowing down of the pulse measured with ET9390kb 1114 was observed, as ET9390kb is a slow PMT for spectroscopy while R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144 1115 1116 are faster. For the pulse measured with R11833-100, a slight increase in signal size at around 90 ns may be due to a non-optimal design of the voltage divider with respect to impedance matching for 1117 this tube. 1118



Figure 45: Average waveforms for PMTs ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512, and R4144 (100,000 pulses for each PMT) time-aligned using a digital CFD algorithm.

1119 9.3 Photoelectron Yield

The photoelectron yield is of great importance for NGD, as the quality of the discrimination is 1120 affected by the statistical fluctuation of the number of photoelectrons (NPE) in the slow component 1121 of the scintillation pulse. The NPE depends on the number of photons per MeV, light collection 1122 from the scintillator, and the quantum efficiency of the photocathode. The NPE per energy unit 1123 was measured by comparing the position of the peak corresponding to a single photoelectron to the 1124 position of the Compton edge of γ -ray emitted by a ¹³⁷Cs source [39]. The results of the NPE 1125 measurement for the four PMTs are shown in Table 8. The NPE per MeV values are relatively 1126 low, because the photoelectron yield of large volume scintillators is reduced due to light attenuation 1127 inside the scintillator [26]. 1128

Table 8: Number of photoelectrons per γ -ray energy deposition for the four different PMTs.

PMT	NPE/MeV
ET9390kb	1800 ± 90
R11833-100	2070 ± 100
XP4512	1350 ± 70
R4144	950 ± 60

1129 9.4 Digital NGD

In this section, two conventional pulse-shape discrimination methods, charge comparison (CC) and integrated risetime (IRT), have been implemented digitally to discriminate neutrons from γ rays. They are based on the principle that the fraction of light that appears in the slow component of the light yield of the liquid scintillators depends on the type of incident particle. In order to quantify the NGD performances of the four PMTs, a parameter named figure-of-merit (FOM) was used to evaluate the results of these two pulse-shape discrimination methods in sections 9.4.1 and 9.4.2.
 The FOM is defined as [38]

$$\mathsf{FOM} = \frac{S}{W_{\gamma} + W_{\mathsf{n}}},\tag{11}$$

where S is the distance between the neutron and γ -ray peaks in the distribution spectrum of the 1137 discrimination parameter, and W_{γ} and W_{n} are their full width at half maximum values. A larger 1138 value of FOM normally indicates a better performance of the NGD. However, it should be noted 1139 that the FOM only measures the degree of separation that can be achieved between different types 1140 of event distributions and does not take into account any mis-identification cases. This means that 1141 in some extreme situations, even a poor NGD with a high mis-identification rate could still have a 1142 fairly large FOM value, though this is unlikely to happen as long as the pulse-shape discrimination 1143 method has been implemented properly. For example, the mis-identification due to pile-up effects is 1144 quite common when the count rate is very high, while the two peaks of the distribution spectrum of 1145 the discrimination parameter are well separated, resulting in a large FOM. Therefore, in section 9.4.3 1146 the TOF information was included to further verify the validity of both the CC method and the IRT 1147 method used in this work. 1148

1149 9.4.1 Pulse-shape Discrimination with the CC Method

The CC method identifies the particle by measuring the integrated charge over two different time 1150 regions of the pulse induced by a neutron or γ -ray event. The long integral (total charge) starts 1151 from the beginning of the pulse (8 ns before the CFD trigger point) to an optimised end point in 1152 the tail, while the short integral corresponding to the slow component is taken from an optimised 1153 start point after the pulse peak to the same end point as used for the long integral. The optimal 1154 start point of the short integral (t_s) and the end point of both the short and long integrals (t_e) were 1155 determined carefully by performing a maximisation of FOM value when leaving both t_s and t_e as 1156 free variables. Fig. 46 presents a three-dimensional plot of this process of optimising t_s and t_e using 1157 the CC method for PMT ET9390kb at (320 \pm 20) keVee as an example. The optimal values of t_s 1158 and t_e were set to 90 ns and 300 ns respectively. For t_e , the FOM did not improve for larger values 1159 than 300 ns. The value of t_e was kept constant at 300 ns in all cases to ensure as short time interval 1160 as possible for minimising pile-up effects. This is reasonable as the intensity of the slow component 1161 of the light pulse is quite low beyond 300 ns [8]. 1162

Fig. 47 shows the comparison of two-dimensional density plots of short integral versus long integral 1163 of each pulse measured with PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144 using an energy 1164 threshold of 100 keVee. It can be observed that even with such a large scintillator an effective 1165 separation between neutron and γ -ray events has been accomplished down to 100 keVee for each 1166 PMT. Since the relative intensity of the slow component of the pulse arising from neutrons (recoil 1167 protons) is larger than that of γ rays (electrons), the events located in the upper distribution in 1168 Fig. 47 were identified as neutrons while the lower distribution corresponds to γ rays according to 1169 the CC method. 1170

¹¹⁷¹ Furthermore, the NGD performance was evaluated as a function of energy by employing different ¹¹⁷² energy windows between 50 keVee and 1000 keVee in order to get a more quantitative comparison of ¹¹⁷³ the discrimination capability. Fig. 48 presents the NGD spectra, which are the distributions of the ¹¹⁷⁴ ratios of short to long integrals being measured at (320 ± 20) keVee for the different PMTs. Gaussian ¹¹⁷⁵ functions were used to fit the distributions with the curve fitting tool available in MATLAB [40]. ¹¹⁷⁶ The FOM values were then extracted from these Gaussian fits for all the PMTs by applying eq. (11). ¹¹⁷⁷ The optimal t_s and the extracted FOM values are shown in the legends of Fig. 48.

1178 The FOMs in different energy regions ranging from 50 keVee to 1000 keVee for all PMTs have been



Figure 46: FOM values measured for PMT ET9390kb at (320 \pm 20) keVee as a function of t_s and t_e used in the CC method.



Figure 47: Density plots of short integral versus long integral of each pulse measured with PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144 with an energy threshold of 100 keVee.

¹¹⁷⁹ obtained in the way as shown in Fig. 48. The comparison of the measured FOMs of the CC method ¹¹⁸⁰ for each tested PMT is shown in Fig. 49. As seen in this figure, the FOM values rise gradually with



Figure 48: NGD spectra with fitted Gaussian distributions at (320 ± 20) keVee using the CC method for PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144.

increasing energy as expected for all PMTs. ET9390kb and R11833-100 generally perform best in
terms of NGD with only slight difference in FOM values. The PMT XP4512 is slightly worse than
R11833-100 and ET9390kb, while R4144 gives considerably lower FOMs compared to other PMTs
indicating its poorest NGD capability. This trend of FOMs for different PMTs qualitatively agrees
with the measured number of photoelectrons per MeV (Table 8). The error of FOM was calculated
based on eq. (11) by propagating the errors of the parameters derived from the non-linear iterative
curve fit.



Figure 49: FOM values of the CC method for PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512, and R4144 as a function of energy window. The widths of the windows are 10 keVee, 40 keVee, and 100 keVee in the energy regions 50 keVee - 100 keVee, 100 keVee - 500 keVee and 500 keVee - 1000 keVee, respectively.

9.4.2 Pulse-Shape Discrimination with the IRT Method

The IRT method can be seen as a digital implementation of the analogue zero-crossover (ZCO) method since the integrated rise time can be evaluated directly by digital signal processing rather than first shaping it to extract the ZCO time. The rise time, defined here as the time difference between the point when the integrated pulse crosses a lower fraction and an upper fraction of its maximal amplitude, is used as a parameter to distinguish neutrons from γ rays. The optimisation of lower and upper points was performed in the same way as for the CC method as illustrated in Fig. 46. The optimal values of the lower/upper points for PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144 were found to be 10%/92%, 11%/86%, 10%/84% and 12%/87% of the maximal amplitude, respectively. The principle of the IRT method is that the integrated rise time of the neutron-induced pulse is longer than that of the γ -ray induced pulse.

¹¹⁹⁹ Conventionally, the performance of an NGD method can be assessed qualitatively by plotting the ¹²⁰⁰ amplitude of a given pulse against its discrimination parameter [41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46]. Fig. 50 ¹²⁰¹ presents the comparison of two-dimensional density plots of amplitude against the integrated rise ¹²⁰² time of each pulse measured with PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144 using an ¹²⁰³ energy threshold of 100 keVee. In each plot of Fig. 50, the events on the right hand were identified ¹²⁰⁴ as neutrons and the left groups of events were regarded as γ rays.



Figure 50: Density plots of amplitude versus the integrated rise time of each pulse measured with PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144 with an energy threshold of 100 keVee.

Like the CC method, the NGD quality was assessed as a function of energy for each PMT. Fig. 51 presents the NGD spectra which are the projections of the integrated rise time being measured at (320 ± 20) keVee for the different PMTs. As seen in Fig. 51, the FOMs for each PMT have been extracted with Gaussian fits of the two peaks of the distribution curve corresponding to the γ -ray and neutron events.

Fig. 52 presents a quantitative comparison of the IRT discrimination performance of each PMT in 1210 terms of FOM in different energy regions between 50 keVee and 1000 keVee. It can be observed that 1211 the trend of FOMs of the IRT method for different PMTs is basically consistent with that of the 1212 CC method. Nevertheless, the FOMs of IRT method for R11833-100 are slightly higher than those 1213 for ET9390kb, while in the CC method ET9390kb is a little better regarding FOM values. Since 1214 these differences are insignificant when taking into account the error of the FOM values, it can be 1215 safely concluded that R11833-100 and ET9390kb have the best capabilities of NGD. In general, the 1216 IRT method performs slightly better than the CC method over most of the energy range for all 1217 PMTs, with the FOM values on average about 7%, 4%, 3% and 6% higher for PMT R11833-100, 1218 ET9390kb, XP4512 and R4144, respectively. This is probably because the IRT method can cancel 1219



Figure 51: NGD spectra with fitted Gaussian distributions at (320 ± 20) keVee using the IRT method for PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512 and R4144.

out part of the high-frequency noise present in the signal by integrating the pulse. Yet at the same
time, it should be noted that the FOMs of different PMTs under 100 keVee are quite similar, all
suggesting deterioration in NGD performance at low energy. This results from the fact that the
signal-to-noise ratio of the low energy signals is quite low due to the scintillation statistics and due
to the electronic noise and the quantisation effects of the digitiser, which is a fundamental limitation of any discrimination method [47].



Figure 52: FOM values of the IRT method for PMT ET9390kb, R11833-100, XP4512, and R4144 as a function of energy window. The widths of the windows are 10 keVee, 40 keVee, and 100 keVee in the energy regions 50 keVee - 100 keVee, 100 keVee - 500 keVee and 500 keVee - 1000 keVee, respectively.

1226 9.4.3 TOF Verification of NGD

Neutrons and γ rays can often be distinguished with a high accuracy by measuring their TOF between the emission point and the detector. Thus, the TOF parameter was used here, combined with both the CC method and the IRT method, to evaluate their discrimination quality on a qualitative basis. Fig. 53 presents the TOF distribution of the pulses measured with PMT XP4512. Density plots of

1225



Figure 53: TOF spectrum of the pulses measured with PMT XP4512.

the NGD parameter of the CC method and the IRT method versus the TOF measured with the PMT XP4512 are shown in Fig. 54 and Fig. 55, respectively. Two distinct clusters of events are clearly visible as areas of higher density centered at TOF values of ≈ 0 and ≈ 0.38 , each of which correspond to γ rays and neutrons respectively. This indicates that the NGD results of both the CC method and the IRT method are similar to that of TOF measurement, which has demonstrated qualitatively the correctness of the implementation of these two methods in sections 9.4.1 and 9.4.2.

However, there are some other events located elsewhere in Fig. 54 and Fig. 55, most of which are 1237 random and pile-up events. In Fig. 55, for instance, random events are mainly distributed parallel to 1238 the TOF axis. The TOF method failed to classify these events because TOF measurements require 1239 a time reference that is unavailable for them, whereas the IRT method can discriminate them based 1240 on the pulse shape. Moreover, the region with an integrated rise time larger than ≈ 20 ns and TOF 1241 of about 0 mostly contains pile-up events, because they tend to have longer integrated rise time, 1242 which results in the discrepancy between the NGD results of the TOF method and the IRT method. 1243 The reason for the invalidation of the IRT method in discriminating these events is that the original 1244 pulse shape has to some extent been distorted by pile up. Therefore, it is suggested that if available 1245 in a real experiment, pulse-shape discrimination and TOF measurement should complement each 1246 other to acquire relatively pure neutrons or γ rays. 1247

1248 9.5 Summary and Conclusions

In summary, a comparative study was made with four different PMTs (ET9390kb, R11833-100, 1249 XP4512 and R4144) with a diameter of 5 inches regarding the NGD performances when coupled 1250 to the same liquid scintillator detector, with a size of 5 inches in diameter and 5 inches in depth. 1251 The analysed waveforms were acquired with an experimental setup that comprised a ²⁵²Cf source, 1252 a BC-501A detector and a SIS3530 digitiser with a sampling rate of 500 MS/s and with 12 bit 1253 resolution. Firstly, the average waveforms as well as the photoelectron yield were measured and an 1254 energy calibration was made for each PMT. Secondly, both the CC method and the IRT method were 1255 implemented digitally to discriminate neutrons from γ rays. The FOM parameters were evaluated 1256 as a function of energy to quantitatively compare the NGD properties of the four PMTs. Finally, 1257 the NGD results were verified by combining the TOF measurement with both the CC method and 1258 the IRT method. The results suggest that an effective NGD can be achieved down to 100 keVee 1259 for all four PMTs. In general, PMT R11833-100 and ET9390kb have the best NGD capabilities 1260 with only slight difference in FOM values between them. The surprising result that the slow PMT 1261

ET9390kb can accomplish the NGD as well as the fast PMT R11833-100 is likely because the timing
 property of ET9390kb is sufficiently good for NGD. Therefore, the results are more associated with
 their relatively higher photoelectron yield per energy unit, which indicates that a scintillator detector
 coupled to a PMT with higher photoelectron yield can result in better NGD performance.



Figure 54: Density plot of the discrimination parameter of the CC method versus the TOF of each pulse measured with PMT XP4512. No energy threshold was set in the analysis.



Figure 55: Density plot of the discrimination parameter of the IRT method versus the TOF of each pulse measured with PMT XP4512. No energy threshold was set in the analysis.

1265

1266 10 Detector Unit: Prototype Design and First Tests

This section describes the mechanical design of the NEDA prototype detector unit and the first test results obtained with the prototype.

1269 **10.1** Mechanical Description

The NEDA detector unit (cell) has the shape of a uniform hexagonal prism, with a side-to-side 1270 distance of 146 mm and a length of 205 mm (external dimensions). The active volume of the liquid 1271 scintillator is 3.2 litres. The mechanical design is made to reduce as much as possible the cost of 1272 the production process. Both the detector cell and the PMT housing are made by an extrusion of 1273 an Al-6060 bulk. The scintillator cell is constructed as shown in Fig. 56 by welding a bottom lid 1274 and a top flange (TIG welding) to the cylinder. The top flange holds an N-BK7 glass window with 1275 a thickness of 8 mm and diameter of 133 mm. The glass window is bonded to the top flange with 1276 a 3.5 mm thick glue. Once mounted, the glass window stands out 1 mm from the flange, and has 1277 a 127 mm diameter (5 inch) for the light read out. The cell is internally painted with a TiO_2 -based 1278 reflective paint. 1279



Figure 56: Exploded view of the NEDA cell showing 1) glass window, 2) top flange, 3) cylinder and 4) bottom lid.

The expansion chamber consists of a 3 inch diameter edge-welded steel bellow placed at the rear part of the PMT housing. The bellow has a stroke of 4.8 cm, which corresponds to a 152 cm³ volume difference. The pipe connecting the bellow with the cell has a flexible part to allow detaching the PMT housing. The flange on the top of the PMT housing holds a plastic ring to push the PMT against the glass window. All pieces of the prototype unit are shown in Fig. 57.

10.2 Test of Timing Performance

The analogue and digital time resolution of the prototype detector, coupled to a 5 inch photomul-1286 tiplier tube of model R11833-100, was measured using a 60 Co source and a 1 inch by 1 inch BaF₂ 1287 detector as time reference. A setup identical to the one described in Sec. 8 was employed, see 1288 Fig. 39. The digital time resolution was obtained from the waveforms of both the prototype and of 1289 the BaF_2 detector sampled at 500 MS/s, using a digital CFD algorithm with a cubic interpolation 1290 of the zero crossing (see Sec. 8.2 for details). Preliminary results of the measured time resolution 1291 (FWHM) as a function of signal amplitude are shown in Fig. 58. Even though the time resolution of 1292 this large volume detector is degraded by about 35 %, compared to what was obtained with a smaller 1293 5 inch by 5 inch neutron detector tested in Sec. 8, the obtained overall time resolution with a 50 Co 1294 source and an energy threshold of 100 keV is smaller than 1.2 ns (FWHM) both in the analogue and 1295



Figure 57: A photograph of the first NEDA prototype detector unit without liquid. Once filled with liquid, the pipe, the bellow and the cell are bonded together.

the digital measurements. Both the NEDA prototype detector and the 5 inch by 5 inch cell exhibit the same dependence of time resolution on energy.



Figure 58: Time resolution (FWHM) versus signal amplitude measured with a 60 Co source, the NEDA prototype detector and a BaF₂ detector. The width of the energy windows was 100 keV. Blue: digital measurements. Red: analogue measurements. The results are preliminary.

1298 10.3 Test of Neutron-Gamma Discrimination Performance

A test of the NGD capability of the NEDA prototype detector was performed using a ²⁵²Cf source, placed at a distance of 1 m from the detector. The setup of the electronics and data acquisition was identical to the one used in the time-resolution measurements described in Sec. 10.2. The digital CC method was used to distinguish neutrons and γ -rays. The charge was integrated in two time gates: Q_{slow} , with a 400 ns width starting at 18 ns from the maximum of the signal, and Q_{fast} , with a 30 ns width ending where Q_{slow} starts. Preliminary results are shown in figure 59.



Figure 59: Neutron- γ discrimination of the NEDA prototype detector using the digital chargecomparison method. See text for the definition of Q_{fast} and Q_{slow} . The results are preliminary.

These preliminary results show that the PSA performance is according to expected results for a cell of this volume (about 3 litres).

1307 **11** New Detector Materials

Recently, a new solid organic scintillator with good NGD properties has been developed [48] and 1308 become available on the market. The NGD capabilities of a cylindrical 3 inch diameter detector of 1309 type EJ299-33 was investigated within the NEDA collaboration, with the aim of finding out if this 1310 material would be a possible future upgrade for NEDA [49]. The results showed that the NGD quality 1311 is not quite as good as what is obtained with the best liquid scintillators available, but encouraging 1312 enough that the NEDA collaboration will keep a close eye on the developments of this material. If 1313 it improves, it may be that NEDA in a future upgrade would use the new solid scintillator instead 1314 of the liquid scintillator that currently has been chosen. 1315

¹³¹⁶ 12 NEDA Organisation, Phases, Work Packages and Time Lines

1317 12.1 Organisation, management, responsible persons

¹³¹⁸ The organisation, management and responsible persons of the NEDA project are the following:

• NEDA Project Manager: J.J. Valiente Dobón, INFN-LNL, Italy.

- **NEDA Management Board:** J.J. Valiente Dobón (chair), N. Erduran, G. de France, A. Gadea, M. Moszynski, J. Nyberg, M. Palacz, D. Tonev, R. Wadsworth.
- **NEDA@HISPEC Contact Person:** Johan Nyberg, Uppsala University.

• **Collaborating countries and institutes:** See section 2.2.

1324 **12.2** Memorandum of understanding (MoU)

An MoU for the NEDA Development period 2012-2015 has been signed by all parties from Bulgaria, France, Italy, Poland, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, and the UK. A new MoU for the next period of NEDA is currently being prepared.

1328 **12.3 NEDA Phases and Campaigns**

¹³²⁹ NEDA will be built in the following phases:

1330 0. Upgrade of the Neutron Wall to use the new NEDA digital electronics (50 channels).

1331 1. Construction of a NEDA array consisting of 48 detectors that can be run standalone or combined with the Neutron Wall (50 detectors) to cover a solid angle of about 2π with a targetdetector distance of 50 cm (98 electronics channels).

¹³³⁴ 2. Construction of the full NEDA array with about 331 detectors covering a solid angle of about 2π at a target-detector distance of 100 cm.

The NEDA array will be used in experimental campaigns at various accelerator facilities, like NUSTAR/FAIR, SPIRAL2/GANIL, SPES/LNL, etc. Currently, the decided campaign is to use NEDA with up to 46 detectors plus the Neutron Wall, with 50 detectors, combined with AGATA at GANIL in 2016-2017. The new NEDA electronics will then be used for the first time. For this campaign, a total of 18 letters-of-intent have been presented at the AGATA at GANIL Physics Workshop in 2013. From 2018, a setup of NEDA with 48 detectors, will be ready to be used at NUSTAR/FAIR.

1343 **12.4 Work Packages**

The table below shows the NEDA work packages. The project is organised in working groups (one working group per work package), which are coordinated by the persons given in the table.

Work Package	Coordinator
Physics	R. Wadsworth (York)
Simulations and conceptual design	M. Palacz (Warsaw)
Light readout	M. Moszyński (Swierk)
Front-end electronics and DAQ	A. Gadea (Valencia)
Pulse-shape analysis	J. Nyberg (Uppsala)
Synergies with other detectors	P. Bednarczyk (Kraków)

1346 **12.5** Time Lines and Critical Milestones

Fig. 60 displays the foreseen time plane for the development and construction of 48 NEDA detectors, which will be ready to be used at HISPEC from 2018. Here is a list of critical milestones of the NEDA@HISPEC project:

• Simulations to select the shape and dimensions of the NEDA detector unit, 2012.

- Selection of the type of liquid (BC501A or BC537), 2012.
 NEDA digitiser mezzanine developments and prototype tests, 2013/Q2.
 Selection of the type of photomultiplier and design of voltage divider, 2014/Q3.
 Conceptual design and simulations of the NEDA array, 2014/Q3.
 Production of the first NEDA digitiser, mezzanine board 2014/Q2, carrier board 2014/Q4.
 Design and tests of the NEDA prototype detector units, 2014/Q4.
 Serial production of NEDA detector units and digitisers, 2015-2016.
- Tests of the first complete NEDA detector unit and NEDA digitiser, 2015/Q1.
- Final tests of complete detectors with electronics, 2017.
- Ready for experiments with NEDA@HISPEC, 2018/Q1.

1361 References

- ¹³⁶² [1] S. Akkoyun et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A668 (2012) 26.
- ¹³⁶³ [2] P. Golubev et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 723 (2013) 55.
- ¹³⁶⁴ [3] S. Paschalis et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 709 (2013) 44.
- ¹³⁶⁵ [4] C. Langer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 032502.
- ¹³⁶⁶ [5] G. Jaworski et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 673 (2012) 64.
- ¹³⁶⁷ [6] S. Agostinelli et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A506 (2003) 250.
- ¹³⁶⁸ [7] E. Farnea et al. Nucl. Instr. Meth. A621 (2010) 331.
- ¹³⁶⁹ [8] M. Moszyński et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 350 (1994) 226.
- ¹³⁷⁰ [9] J. Ljungvall, M. Palacz, and J. Nyberg, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 528 (2004) 741.
- ¹³⁷¹ [10] K. Banerjee et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 608 (2009) 440.
- ¹³⁷² [11] F. J. Egea et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-60 (2013) 3526.
- [12] F. J. Egea et al., A New Front-End High-Resolution Sampling Board for the New-Generation
 Electronics of EXOGAM2 and NEDA Detectors, Proceedings of the 19th Real-Time Conference,
- ¹³⁷⁵ Nara, Japan, 2014, submitted.
- ¹³⁷⁶ [13] F. Egea et al., A Digital Front-End Electronics for the Neutron Detector NEDA, Proceedings ¹³⁷⁷ of the 19th Real-Time Conference, Nara, Japan, 2014, submitted.
- ¹³⁷⁸ [14] Ö. Skeppstedt et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 421 (1999) 531.
- 1379 [15] L.P.G. Bardelli and G. Poggi, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A560 (2006) 270.
- 1380 [16] X. Grave et al., 14th IEEE-NPSS Real Time Conf. (2005) 119.
- ¹³⁸¹ [17] http://narval.in2p3.fr/.
- ¹³⁸² [18] B.G. Taylor, IEEE Trans. Nucl.Sci. 45 (1998) 821.
- ¹³⁸³ [19] M. Bellato, Tech. Rep. hal-00729086, Nov. 2005.
- 1384 [20] M. Bellato et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 55 (2008) 91.

- ¹³⁸⁵ [21] M. A. Nelson et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 505 (2003) 324.
- 1386 [22] M. Flaska et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 729 (2013) 456.
- ¹³⁸⁷ [23] M. Nakhostin and P. Walker, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 621 (2010) 498.
- ¹³⁸⁸ [24] M. Flaska and S. A. Pozzi, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 599 (2009) 221.
- 1389 [25] Y. Kaschuck and B. Esposito, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 551 (2005) 420.
- ¹³⁹⁰ [26] M. Moszyński et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 317 (1992) 262.
- ¹³⁹¹ [27] P.-A. Söderström, J. Nyberg, and R. Wolters, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 594 (2008) 79.
- 1392 [28] E. Ronchi et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 610 (2009) 534.
- 1393 [29] X. Luo et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 767 (2014) 83.
- 1394 [30] L. Bardelli et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 521 (2004) 480.
- ¹³⁹⁵ [31] A. Fallu-Labruyere et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 579 (2007) 247.
- 1396 [32] J. Agramunt, priv. comm. (2013).
- 1397 [33] B. Bengtson and M. Moszyński, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 81 (1970) 109.
- ¹³⁹⁸ [34] V. Modamio et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A (2014), submitted.
- 1399 [35] http://www.struck.de/sis3350.htm.
- 1400 [36] http://www.struck.de/sis3302.htm.
- ¹⁴⁰¹ [37] V. Modamio et al., LNL Annual Report 2012 (2013) 78.
- ¹⁴⁰² [38] G.F. Knoll, Radiation Detection and Measurement, Fourth Edition, Wiley, 2010.
- ¹⁴⁰³ [39] M. Moszyński et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 307 (1991) 97.
- ¹⁴⁰⁴ [40] MathWorks, http://www.mathworks.com.
- ¹⁴⁰⁵ [41] B. Mellow et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 578 (2007) 191.
- ¹⁴⁰⁶ [42] M. Aspinall et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 583 (2007) 432.
- ¹⁴⁰⁷ [43] M.J. Joyce et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 57 (2010) 2625.
- ¹⁴⁰⁸ [44] G. Liu et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 57 (2010) 1682.
- ¹⁴⁰⁹ [45] J. Yang et al., Chin. Phys. C36 (2012) 544.
- ¹⁴¹⁰ [46] K.A.A. Gamage et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A642 (2011) 78.
- ¹⁴¹¹ [47] X.L. Luo et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A717 (2013) 44.
- ¹⁴¹² [48] N. Zaitseva et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 668 (2012) 88.
- ¹⁴¹³ [49] Q. Nishada, Master's thesis, Uppsala University (2014).



Figure 60: Time plan for the NEDA@HISPEC project.